

Coverage Gains From Coverage Questions C1 and C2 on Enumerator-Completed Questionnaires for Census 2000

FINAL REPORT

This evaluation reports the results of research and analysis undertaken by the U.S. Census Bureau. It is part of a broad program, the Census 2000 Testing, Experimentation, and Evaluation (TXE) Program, designed to assess Census 2000 and to inform 2010 Census planning. Findings from the Census 2000 TXE Program reports are integrated into topic reports that provide context and background for broader interpretation of results.

Nganha T. Nguyen
Mary Frances E. Zelenak

Decennial Statistical
Studies Division

U S C E N S U S B U R E A U

Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Intentionally Blank

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	v
1. BACKGROUND	1
1.1 Question C1: Miss anyone?	1
1.2 Question C2: Include anyone who should have been counted elsewhere?	2
2. METHODOLOGY	2
2.1 Source of the data	2
2.2 Coverage Questions C1 and C2	2
2.3 Adds and deletes	3
2.4 Demographic characteristics	4
2.5 Enumerators' use of coverage questions	4
2.6 Applying quality assurance procedures	4
3. LIMITATIONS	4
4. RESULTS	5
4.1 Coverage gains from Questions C1 and C2	5
4.2 Demographics of added and deleted people	12
4.3 Enumerators' use of Questions C1 and C2	20
5. CONCLUSIONS	21
6. RECOMMENDATIONS	22
7. REFERENCES	23
APPENDIX A: State Tables	25
APPENDIX B: Variable Definitions From DRF2	63
APPENDIX C: Census 2000 Enumerator Questionnaire, Form D-1(E)	69

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Response to Question C1 for all enumerator returns by form type and operation	6
Table 2. Response to Question C1 for basic enumerator returns by form type and operation . . .	6
Table 3. Response to Question C1 for surviving basic enumerator returns by form type and operation	7
Table 4. Returns with at least one person added when C1 was marked “Yes” by operation	7
Table 5. Distribution of housing units with C1 marked “Yes” by number of people added and operation	8
Table 6. Response to Question C2 for all enumerator returns by form type and operation	9
Table 7. Response to Question C2 for basic enumerator returns by form type and operation . . .	9
Table 8. Response to Question C2 for surviving basic enumerator returns by form type and operation	10
Table 9. Returns with at least one person deleted when C2 was marked “Yes” by operation . .	10
Table 10. Distribution of housing units with C2 marked “Yes” by number of people deleted and operation	11
Table 11. Number and percent of added and deleted people by RACE	12
Table 12. Number and percent of added and deleted people by AGE	13
Table 13. Number and percent of added and deleted people by SEX	14
Table 14. Number and percent of added and deleted people by HISPANIC ORIGIN	14
Table 15. Number and percent of added and deleted people by TENURE	15
Table 16. Number and percent of added people selected by PSA by RACE	16
Table 17. Number and percent of added people selected by PSA by AGE	17
Table 18. Number and percent of added people selected by PSA by SEX	18
Table 19. Number and percent of added people selected by PSA by HISPANIC ORIGIN	18
Table 20. Number and percent of added people selected by PSA by TENURE	19
Table 21. Observation summary of coverage questions from CIFU, NRFU, and U/E	20
Table A1. Response to Question C1 for all enumerator returns by state	25
Table A2. Response to Question C1 for basic enumerator returns by state	26
Table A3. Response to Question C1 for surviving basic enumerator returns by state	27

Table A4. Distribution of housing units with C1 marked “Yes” by number of people added and state	28
Table A5. Response to Question C2 for all enumerator returns by state	30
Table A6. Response to Question C2 for basic enumerator returns by state	31
Table A7. Response to Question C2 for surviving basic enumerator returns by state	32
Table A8. Distribution of housing units with C2 marked “Yes” by number of people deleted and state	33
Table A9. Number and percent of added people by state and RACE	35
Table A10. Number and percent of added people by state and AGE	39
Table A11. Number and percent of added people by state and SEX	43
Table A12. Number and percent of added people by state and HISPANIC ORIGIN	45
Table A13. Number and percent of added people by state and TENURE	47
Table A14. Number and percent of deleted people by state and RACE	49
Table A15. Number and percent of deleted people by state and AGE	53
Table A16. Number and percent of deleted people by state and SEX	57
Table A17. Number and percent of deleted people by state and HISPANIC ORIGIN	59
Table A18. Number and percent of deleted people by state and TENURE	61

Intentionally Blank

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This evaluation focuses on the use and effectiveness of coverage questions on enumerator-completed questionnaires for Census 2000. The intent of these questions was to identify people who otherwise would have been missed or included in error. Summaries from field observations were examined to determine how well enumerators asked these questions and used the answers to obtain an accurate household roster. The census operations which used these questions were List/Enumerate, Update/Enumerate, Nonresponse Followup, and Coverage Improvement Followup.

In Census 2000, enumerators began by asking how many people were living or staying in the housing unit on Census Day. After collecting the appropriate person and housing unit information, the enumerator asked two coverage questions, which were designed to get an accurate enumeration of all people and housing units.

For the first question, C1, the enumerator referred to Census Day, April 1, 2000, and asked:

I need to make sure I have counted everyone who lived or stayed here on April 1, 2000. Did I miss -

- any children, including foster children?
- anyone away on business or vacation?
- any roomers or housemates?
- anyone else who had no other home?

If someone had been missed, then his or her name was to be added to the form, the “Add” box under that person’s name was supposed to be marked, and the census information was to be recorded.

For the second question, C2, the enumerator referred to Census Day, April 1, 2000, and asked:

The Census Bureau has already counted certain people so I don’t want to count them again here. On April 1, 2000, were any of the people you told me about -

- away at college?
- away in the Armed Forces?
- in a nursing home?
- in a correctional facility?

If someone was included on the form but should have been counted elsewhere, the enumerator was to delete him or her from the form by marking the “Cancel” box under that person’s name.

For this evaluation, we used data from the Decennial Response File–Stage 2 and the Hundred Percent Census Edited File to tally enumerator returns that had added or deleted people and to obtain distributions of the demographic characteristics of these people.

Enumerators were supposed to mark either “Yes” or “No” for each of these coverage questions and then take appropriate action whenever the response was “Yes.” However, about one-third of the time, enumerators left these questions blank. Of the surviving basic enumerator returns in the United States, 66.1 percent had C1 marked and 65.9 percent had C2 marked. Approximately 1.1 percent of the responses were “Yes” for C1, meaning that someone had been missed, and 0.7 percent were “Yes” for C2, meaning that someone should be counted elsewhere. Among the operations, the Coverage Improvement Followup operation saw the lowest percentage of returns with these questions marked, 49.4 percent and 49.2 percent for C1 and C2, respectively. The response rate for these questions in the Nonresponse Followup operation was approximately 68 percent for both.

Inconsistencies appeared when we looked at the people associated with these surviving returns. Only 21.8 percent of the returns that had the “Yes” box marked for C1, had at least one person added; that is, someone with the “Add” box marked. A similar situation occurred with the returns having C2 marked as “Yes.” Only 43.4 percent of the returns with C2 marked as “Yes” had at least one person deleted; that is, someone with the “Cancel” box marked. One possible reason for this inconsistency is that the enumerators may have forgotten to mark the “Add” or “Cancel” box when a roster change was necessary. A person may have been added to the roster but the “Add” box under the name was not marked. If this occurred, we are unable to determine which people were added. For deleted people, although there were returns with C2 marked “Yes” (we included someone who should have been counted elsewhere), we cannot determine who should have been deleted if there is no one with the “Cancel” box marked.

This lack of information makes it difficult to get an accurate account of the people who were missed or included in error. Therefore, a net result of people added or deleted may not be inferred from the data in this report. For the same reason, the demographic data included in this document may not accurately reflect the distributions of the people who were truly added to or deleted from the household rosters.

Based on the information about the number of “Add” and “Cancel” boxes marked, we have added 77,050 people and deleted 83,160 people. Among the people recorded as adds, 46.6 percent were non-Whites, 57.9 percent were young people (ages 0 to 24), 56.5 percent were males, and 51.2 percent were renters. These groups are traditionally undercounted, however, we cannot infer that these two coverage questions are good for improving the differential undercount because of the inadequacy of the data collected.

To improve the percentage of returns with the “Add” and “Cancel” boxes marked when the coverage questions are answered as “Yes,” we recommend providing additional space immediately following the coverage question for entering the names of the people to be added or deleted. A “Don’t know” option may help to increase the response to the question. Also, to improve the data collection process, we recommend using automated instruments and having more training for enumerators on the purpose of asking these questions.

1. BACKGROUND

Census 2000 coverage improvement operations were intended to improve the coverage of groups in the population usually under-represented in the census. The purpose of this evaluation is to study the effectiveness of the new coverage questions in the identification of people who otherwise would have been missed or included in error. This evaluation examines the effect that the coverage questions on enumerator-completed questionnaires—specifically C1 and C2—had on the coverage of Census 2000. It also uses summaries from field observations to determine how well enumerators asked these questions and used the answers to obtain an accurate household roster. The census operations which used these coverage questions were List/Enumerate (L/E), Update/Enumerate (U/E), Nonresponse Followup (NRFU), and Coverage Improvement Followup (CIFU).

In the 1990 census, enumerators began their interview with an explanation of who should be included as residents of the household. This procedure was changed for Census 2000 to facilitate an easier interview. In 2000, enumerators began by asking how many people were living or staying in the housing unit on Census Day. After collecting the appropriate person and housing unit information, the enumerator asked two coverage questions, which were designed to get an accurate enumeration of all people and housing units.

1.1 Question C1: Miss anyone?

The first question asked if the enumerator missed anyone who should have been enumerated at the respondent's housing unit. The following text was used to obtain this information:

I need to make sure I have counted everyone who lived or stayed here on April 1, 2000. Did I miss -

- *any children, including foster children?*
- *anyone away on business or vacation?*
- *any roomers or housemates?*
- *anyone else who had no other home?*

Typical situations in which people, who should be included as residents, tend to be missed are babies, foster children, people away on business or vacation, roomers or housemates, and temporary residents with no other home. If someone had been missed, then the person's name was to be added to the form, the "Add" box under that name was supposed to be marked, and the census information was to be recorded. See Appendix C for a copy of the Census 2000 Enumerator Short Form Questionnaire.

1.2 Question C2: Include anyone who should have been counted elsewhere?

The second question asked if someone listed on the form should have been counted elsewhere. The following text was used to obtain this information:

The Census Bureau has already counted certain people so I don't want to count them again here. On April 1, 2000, were any of the people you told me about -

- away at college?*
- away in the Armed Forces?*
- in a nursing home?*
- in a correctional facility?*

The typical situations in which people, who should *not* be included as residents, tend to be included as such are people away at college, in the Armed Forces, in a nursing home, or in a correctional facility. If someone was included on the form but should have been counted elsewhere, then the enumerator was supposed to delete him or her from the form by marking the “Cancel” box under that person’s name. See Appendix C for a copy of the Census 2000 Enumerator Short Form Questionnaire.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Source of the data

The data in this evaluation were obtained from the Decennial Response File–Stage 2 (DRF2) and the Hundred Percent Census Edited File (HCEF-D’). Using these files, we created subsets of the data in several steps which are explained below.

We also obtained demographic data for the entire United States population from the Census 2000 Summary File 1 (SF 1).

2.2 Coverage Questions C1 and C2

2.2.1 Surviving enumerator returns

We began by creating a SAS dataset that includes all enumerator return records from the DRF2. The variable `RSOURCE` was used to select these records. The values for the variable `RSOURCE` indicate the type (paper or electronic) and source of the return. The source of the return includes the operation from which the return was received. See Appendix B for the values of this variable. For our dataset, we kept all records where `RSOURCE = 13–24`. These returns were from four operations: L/E, U/E, NRFU, and CIFU. Note that we did not include any records from Group Quarters, Service-Based Enumeration, or T-Night in this evaluation.

Next, we merged the enumerator returns on the DRF2 with the HCEF-D' housing unit file to determine which enumerator returns on the DRF2 were used in further census processing; that is, those with a matching Census ID on the HCEF-D' housing unit file.

2.2.2 Primary Selection Algorithm

There were several ways in which to respond to Census 2000, including mailing back a questionnaire, completing the form on the Internet, using a Be Counted Form, and being enumerated by field operations such as L/E, U/E, NRFU, and CIFU. While these and other methods of collecting population data were implemented with the goal of obtaining a more accurate census count, the various methods also presented the possibility of receiving multiple responses for a single Census ID. The Primary Selection Algorithm (PSA) was the computer program designed to resolve the receipt of multiple responses from housing units.

Major features of the Census 2000 PSA design included performing person matching between returns, constructing PSA households, selecting the primary PSA household, and selecting additional persons for the census household that are not in the primary PSA household.

A PSA household is a set of associated persons at one Census ID. The set may contain no persons (a vacant PSA household), or one or more persons. More than one return may contribute to a single PSA household. Returns that do not have any persons in common (determined by person matching) constitute separate PSA households. One or more PSA households may be formed at a Census ID. The primary PSA household is the PSA household (including the selected person records on returns in that PSA household) that is used in further processing. When more than one PSA household exists, the primary PSA household is selected by sequentially applying criteria to all of the PSA households until only one PSA household is selected. Certain person records in non-primary PSA households at the Census ID may also be selected for inclusion in the census household.

For this evaluation, we created a subset of the data by keeping only the return selected by the PSA that provides the housing unit data and operational variables on the household level for a particular Census ID. This is the basic return for the primary PSA household. To accomplish this, we used the variable RPRSTAT, which indicates return and PSA household status. We kept the returns with RPRSTAT = 1. See Appendix B for additional values of this variable.

2.3 Adds and deletes

On the enumerator return, there were boxes to indicate if a person was added or deleted. If either of these boxes was marked, the respective variable, PADD or PCANCEL, on the DRF2 person file would have a value of 1. See Appendix B for additional values of these variables. In this evaluation, we are interested in the people marked as adds or deletes who are included on housing unit records that had Question C1 and/or C2 marked as "Yes" and survived to the HCEF-D'. To get this information, we merged the surviving returns with the people on the

DRF2. On the DRF2, the variables RC1 and RC2 indicate the response to Questions C1 (whether anyone was missed) and C2 (whether anyone was included in error), respectively. Note: To keep the file sizes to a minimum for the merge, we only kept people who had the “Add” or “Cancel” box marked (PADD = 1 or PCANCEL = 1).

2.4 Demographic characteristics

Summary statistics for race, age, sex, Hispanic origin, and tenure were calculated for added and deleted people separately. We used the final dataset, described in section 2.3 above, containing surviving housing unit records and associated people who were marked as an add or delete. For further analysis, we created a subset of the added people that included only persons who were selected by PSA. This status was indicated by the value of 1 for variable PPSEL on the DRF2 person file. See Appendix B for other possible values of this variable.

2.5 Enumerators’ use of coverage questions

Observations of three enumerator operations—U/E, NRFU, and CIFU—were conducted. Observers filled out an observation checklist or observer’s diary, and this information was summarized in the Financial and Administrative Systems Division’s (FASD’s) Motion and Time Study. Data from this study were used to determine whether enumerators asked the coverage questions and made any roster changes as a result.

2.6 Applying quality assurance procedures

We applied quality assurance procedures throughout the creation of this report. They encompassed how we determined evaluation methods, designed and reviewed computer systems, developed clerical and computer procedures, analyzed data, and prepared this report.

3. LIMITATIONS

The most important limitation in this evaluation was seen in the high level of incomplete or inconsistent information from the enumerator returns. Although Question C1 or C2 was marked as “Yes” on the return, there were cases where no people on the return were marked as an add or a delete. One possible reason for this inconsistency is that the enumerators may have forgotten to mark the “Add” or “Cancel” box when a roster change was necessary. People may have been added to the roster, but without the “Add” box marked, we are unable to determine which people were added. For deleted people, although there were returns with C2 marked “Yes” (we included someone who should have been counted elsewhere), we cannot determine who should have been deleted if there is no one with the “Cancel” box marked.

This lack of information makes it difficult to get an accurate account of the people who were missed or included in error. Therefore, a net result of people added or deleted may not be

inferred from the data in this report. Also, this evaluation only contains tallies and demographic information for people who had the “Add” box or “Cancel” box marked. Therefore, the demographic data included in this document may not accurately reflect the distributions of the people who were truly added to or deleted from the household rosters.

Another limitation is that some of the data in this evaluation have not gone through editing and imputation. Therefore, caution should be used when comparing these data to other distributions. For example, the demographic tables, Tables 11 through 20, include a row for people with missing values for the specific characteristic. For added and deleted people, percentages of the demographic characteristics are calculated excluding people with missing values for the specific characteristic. The data from the Census 2000 Summary File 1 (SF 1), also shown in these tables, have undergone editing and imputation, and therefore will have no missing values.

The frequencies and percentages presented in this report include enumerator returns for vacant housing units. We do not know what impact those returns have on the information provided in this evaluation. Also, although we did not study this group, proxy respondents may be a factor in the low number of responses reported here. Further analysis may be needed. More information about the four enumerator operations, including vacant and proxy counts, may be found in the F.12, F.13, H.5 and I.4 Census 2000 Evaluations listed in the reference section of this document.

Note that the Motion and Time Study was done in a limited number of areas and did not use a random sample. This limits any interpretation of the estimates that can be made. We also note that the enumerators may not always have marked the questions that are of interest in this evaluation.

4. RESULTS

NOTE: The tables in this document contain national-level data for the United States, including the District of Columbia. Puerto Rico is excluded from this evaluation. For related state-level tables, see Appendix A.

4.1 Coverage gains from Questions C1 and C2

4.1.1 How many times was Question C1 marked?

Question C1 asks if the enumerator missed anyone that should have been counted at the respondent’s housing unit. Table 1 shows the number of enumerator returns with Question C1 marked and the percentage of all enumerator returns, regardless of PSA household status, from the DRF2 file. Also shown are the number and percentage of returns which had Question C1 marked as “Yes,” meaning someone had been missed. These counts are given by form type (short form or long form from variable RRT) and by operation (RSOURCE). See Appendix B for the values of these variables.

Of the approximately 47 million enumerator returns, 40.6 percent did not mark either of the boxes for Question C1. Of the 59.4 percent responses, 1.1 percent were recorded as “Yes.” Among the operations, CIFU had the lowest percentage (31.5 percent) of responses to the question whether anyone was missed.

NOTE: The frequencies and percentages presented in this report include enumerator returns for vacant housing units. We do not know what impact those returns have on the information provided in this evaluation.

Table 1. Response to Question C1 for all enumerator returns by form type and operation

All Enumerator Returns	Total	C1 Marked		C1 Marked as “Yes”	
	Number	Number	%	Number	%
Total	46,971,700	27,895,851	59.4	311,286	1.1
Short Form	36,437,270	22,258,919	61.1	226,382	1.0
Long Form	10,534,430	5,636,932	53.5	84,904	1.5
L/E-U/E	1,463,877	797,713	54.5	9,117	1.1
NRFU	38,879,865	25,011,023	64.3	280,221	1.1
CIFU	6,627,958	2,087,115	31.5	21,948	1.1

Source: DRF2 (Variables: RSOURCE (Source of return) = 13-24, all possible values of RPRSTAT (Return and PSA Household status), RC1 = (1, 2), and RRT = (2, 3))

Table 2 shows similar data as Table 1 for enumerator returns designated as the basic return for a primary PSA household from the DRF2 file. See section 2.2.2. When limiting the universe to only these returns, the percentage of returns with Question C1 marked increases. Among those marked, the percentages of those marked “Yes” were similar to those in Table 1, ranging from 1.0 to 1.5 percent.

Table 2. Response to Question C1 for basic enumerator returns by form type and operation

Basic Enumerator Returns	Total	C1 Marked		C1 Marked as “Yes”	
	Number	Number	%	Number	%
Total	36,632,439	24,386,318	66.6	273,599	1.1
Short Form	28,659,358	19,535,609	68.2	199,744	1.0
Long Form	7,973,081	4,850,709	60.8	73,855	1.5
L/E-U/E	1,305,675	780,421	59.8	8,897	1.1
NRFU	31,558,238	21,665,454	68.7	244,449	1.1
CIFU	3,768,526	1,940,443	51.5	20,253	1.0

Source: DRF2 (Variables: RSOURCE = 13-24, RPRSTAT = 1, RC1 = (1, 2), and RRT = (2, 3))

Table 3 shows how many times Question C1 was marked for surviving basic returns. A surviving basic return is the enumerator return on the DRF2 selected by the PSA to be used in further processing that is also on the HCEF-D' file. The response percentages by form type and by operation for surviving basic returns are similar to those for basic returns in Table 2.

Table 3. Response to Question C1 for surviving basic enumerator returns by form type and operation

Surviving Basic Enumerator Returns ¹	Total	C1 Marked		C1 Marked as "Yes"	
	Number	Number	%	Number	%
Total	35,872,321	23,694,688	66.1	267,452	1.1
Short Form	27,946,633	18,878,633	67.6	194,103	1.0
Long Form	7,925,688	4,816,055	60.8	73,349	1.5
L/E-U/E	1,300,921	775,931	59.6	8,840	1.1
NRFU	31,008,656	21,159,483	68.2	240,090	1.1
CIFU	3,562,744	1,759,274	49.4	18,522	1.1

¹The household return from the DRF2 file selected by the PSA for further processing which is also on the HCEF-D'. Source: DRF2 and HCEF-D' (Variables: RSOURCE = 13-24, RPRSTAT = 1, RC1 = (1, 2), and RRT = (2, 3))

4.1.2 How many times was at least one person added when Question C1 was marked as "Yes"?

Table 4 shows the number and percentage of surviving basic returns that had at least one person added (with the "Add" box marked) when Question C1 was marked as "Yes." Among the operations, the CIFU operation had the smallest percentage (11.2 percent) of returns with at least one person added when the response to C1 was "Yes."

Table 4. Returns with at least one person added when C1 was marked "Yes" by operation

	Question C1 marked as "Yes"	At least 1 person added when C1 marked as "Yes"	
	Number	Number	%
Total	267,452	58,215	21.8
L/E-U/E	8,840	2,228	25.2
NRFU	240,090	53,906	22.5
CIFU	18,522	2,081	11.2

Source: DRF2 and HCEF-D' (Variables: RSOURCE = 13-24, RPRSTAT = 1, RC1 = 1, and PADD = 1 for at least one person on the return)

Some possible reasons for the inconsistent information for Question C1 may include:

- The respondent answered “Yes” (that someone had been missed), but
 - refused to give the information for the person to be added; or
 - then said there was no one else in the household.
- The enumerator
 - recorded the information for the person, but forgot to mark the “Add” box; or
 - marked “Yes” by mistake.

4.1.3 What was the distribution of the number of people added as a result of Question C1?

Table 5 shows the distribution of the number of people added (with the “Add” box marked) as a result of Question C1 being marked as “Yes.” Overall, 80.1 percent of returns with added people added one person. In the L/E and U/E operations combined, 3.7 percent of the returns had five or more people added.

Table 5. Distribution of housing units with C1 marked “Yes” by number of people added and operation

	Total	Distribution of added people									
		1		2		3		4		5+	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Total	58,215	46,617	80.1	7,565	13.0	2,249	3.9	1,025	1.8	759	1.3
L/E-U/E	2,228	1,648	74.0	319	14.3	117	5.3	62	2.8	82	3.7
NRFU	53,906	43,319	80.4	6,961	12.9	2,060	3.8	922	1.7	644	1.2
CIFU	2,081	1,650	79.3	285	13.7	72	3.5	41	2.0	33	1.6

Source: DRF2 and HCEF-D’ (Variables: RSOURCE = 13-24, RPRSTAT = 1, RC1 = 1, and PADD = 1)

4.1.4 How many times was Question C2 marked?

Question C2 asks if someone listed on the form should be counted elsewhere. Table 6 shows the number of returns with Question C2 marked together with its percentage of the total of all enumerator returns, regardless of PSA household status, from the DRF2 file. Also shown are the number of times Question C2 was marked as “Yes” and its percentage among those with Question C2 marked.

A response to Question C2 was given on 59.2 percent of all enumerator returns. Among these, 0.7 percent responded “Yes,” indicating that someone, who was included on the form, should have been counted somewhere else. The percentage of responses to Question C2 by form type and by operation was similar to the percentage of responses to Question C1 shown in Table 1.

CIFU had the lowest percentage (31.4 percent) of responses to Question C2 among the operations.

Table 6. Response to Question C2 for all enumerator returns by form type and operation

All Enumerator Returns	Total	C2 Marked		C2 Marked as “Yes”	
	Number	Number	%	Number	%
Total	46,971,700	27,812,200	59.2	204,688	0.7
Short Form	36,437,270	22,189,143	60.9	158,611	0.7
Long Form	10,534,430	5,623,057	53.4	46,077	0.8
L/E-U/E	1,463,877	795,486	54.3	7,887	1.0
NRFU	38,879,865	24,938,360	64.1	181,926	0.7
CIFU	6,627,958	2,078,354	31.4	14,875	0.7

Source: DRF2 (Variables: RSOURCE (Source of return) = 13-24, all possible of RPRSTAT (Return and PSA Household status), RC2 = (1, 2), and RRT = (2, 3))

When the universe is limited to only the basic enumerator returns for households selected by PSA for further processing, the percentage of responses increases. Table 7 shows an overall response to Question C2 of 66.4 percent with 0.7 percent of those responses marked as “Yes.”

Table 7. Response to Question C2 for basic enumerator returns by form type and operation

Basic Enumerator Returns	Total	C2 Marked		C2 Marked as “Yes”	
	Number	Number	%	Number	%
Total	36,632,439	24,314,553	66.4	172,886	0.7
Short Form	28,659,358	19,476,944	68.0	134,469	0.7
Long Form	7,973,081	4,837,609	60.7	38,417	0.8
L/E-U/E	1,305,675	778,279	59.6	7,633	1.0
NRFU	31,558,238	21,603,162	68.5	152,713	0.7
CIFU	3,768,526	1,933,112	51.3	12,540	0.6

Source: DRF2 (Variables: RSOURCE = 13-24, RPRSTAT = 1, RC2 = (1, 2), and RRT = (2, 3))

Table 8 shows how many times Question C2 was marked and the percent of these marked “Yes” for surviving basic returns. A surviving basic return is the enumerator return on the DRF2 selected by the PSA for further processing that is also on the HCEF-D’ file.

On average, only 0.7 percent of the 65.9 percent of returns with a response to Question C2 responded “Yes,” that someone who was included should have been counted elsewhere. The CIFU operation had the lowest percentage (49.2 percent) of surviving basic returns with a response to Question C2.

Table 8. Response to Question C2 for surviving basic enumerator returns by form type and operation

Surviving Basic Enumerator Returns ²	Total	C2 Marked		C2 Marked as “Yes”	
	Number	Number	%	Number	%
Total	35,872,321	23,624,967	65.9	168,370	0.7
Short Form	27,946,633	18,821,889	67.3	130,380	0.7
Long Form	7,925,688	4,803,078	60.6	37,990	0.8
L/E-U/E	1,300,921	773,804	59.5	7,593	1.0
NRFU	31,008,656	21,098,641	68.0	149,459	0.7
CIFU	3,562,744	1,752,522	49.2	11,318	0.6

²The household return from the DRF2 file selected by PSA for further processing which is also on the HCEF-D’. Source: DRF2 and HCEF-D’ (Variables: RSOURCE = 13-24, RPRSTAT = 1, RC2 = (1, 2) and RRT = (2, 3))

4.1.5 How many times was at least one person deleted when Question C2 was marked as “Yes”?

For surviving basic returns with Question C2 marked as “Yes,” Table 9 shows the number of returns which had at least one person deleted; that is, with the “Cancel” box marked. Of the 7,593 L/E and U/E returns with Question C2 marked as “Yes,” 56.1 percent had at least one person marked for deletion.

Table 9. Returns with at least one person deleted when C2 was marked “Yes” by operation

	Question C2 marked as “Yes”	At least 1 person deleted when C2 marked as “Yes”	
	Number	Number	%
Total	168,370	73,019	43.4
L/E-U/E	7,593	4,263	56.1
NRFU	149,459	65,293	43.7
CIFU	11,318	3,463	30.6

Source: DRF2 and HCEF-D’ (Variables: RSOURCE = 13-24, RPRSTAT = 1, RC2 = 1 and PCANCEL = 1 for at least one person on the return)

Some possible reasons for the inconsistent information for Question C2 may include:

- The respondent answered “Yes” (that someone should have been counted elsewhere), but refused to indicate which person should be deleted.
- The enumerator forgot to mark the “Cancel” box under the person’s name.

4.1.6 What was the distribution of the number of people deleted as a result of Question C2?

Table 10 shows the distribution of the number of people deleted (with the “Cancel” box marked) as a result of an affirmative response to Question C2. For the majority of housing units (88.4 percent) with Question C2 marked as “Yes,” there was one person deleted. Less than 0.5 percent of the housing units from each operation had five or more people deleted.

Table 10. Distribution of housing units with C2 marked “Yes” by number of people deleted and operation

	Total	Distribution of deleted people									
		1		2		3		4		5+	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Total	73,019	64,559	88.4	7,169	9.8	985	1.3	237	0.3	69	0.1
L/E-U/E	4,263	3,671	86.1	511	12.0	69	1.6	11	0.3	1	0.0
NRFU	65,293	57,920	88.7	6,285	9.6	837	1.3	198	0.3	53	0.1
CIFU	3,463	2,968	85.7	373	10.8	79	2.3	28	0.8	15	0.4

Source: DRF2 and HCEF-D’ (Variables: RSOURCE = 13-24, RPRSTAT = 1, RC2 = 1 and PCANCEL = 1)

4.2 Demographics of added and deleted people

4.2.1 What were the demographics of the added and deleted people?

Tables 11 through 15 show the distributions of selected demographic characteristics (race, age, sex, Hispanic origin, and tenure) for the people who were on a surviving return and had the “Add” or “Cancel” box marked. We also include the frequency distribution of each characteristic for the entire United States population from the Census 2000 Summary File 1 (SF 1), which has undergone editing and imputation, and includes the group quarters as well as the housing unit population unless stated otherwise.

Note that percents for each demographic characteristic in Tables 11 through 15 are calculated excluding people with missing values for that particular characteristic. However, the tables by state in Appendix A include all people in the percent calculation. See Appendix B for the values of the demographic variables used in this evaluation.

Table 11 shows that among added and deleted people with non-missing race, White comprised 53.3 percent and 65.8 percent, respectively. The Black, African American race group made up 21.4 percent of adds and 19.1 percent of deletes. The non-whites made up 46.7 percent of the adds and 24.9 percent of the entire population. However, we cannot infer that these questions are good for improving the differential undercount because of the inadequacy of the data collected.

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Add” or “Cancel” box marked, a net count of added or deleted people should not be inferred from this table, nor should any inferences be made about the demographic distributions.

Table 11. Number and percent of added and deleted people by RACE

Race	Adds		Deletes		Entire population	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Total	77,050	100.0	83,160	100.0	281,421,906	100.0
White	39,617	53.3	51,199	65.8	211,460,626	75.1
Black, African American	15,910	21.4	14,827	19.1	34,658,190	12.3
American Indian, Alaskan Native	2,790	3.8	1,845	2.4	2,475,956	0.9
Asian	3,375	4.5	4,030	5.2	10,242,998	4.8
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	394	0.5	206	0.3	398,835	1.2
Some Other Race	9,668	13.0	3,973	5.1	15,359,073	5.5
Two or More	2,520	3.4	1,737	2.2	6,826,228	2.4
Missing	2,776	-	5,343	-	-	-

Source: DRF2 and HCEF-D' (Variables: RSOURCE = 13-24, RPRSTAT = 1, RC1 = 1 or RC2 = 1, PADD = 1 or PCANCEL = 1, and PRACE01-PRACE15); Census 2000 Summary File 1.

For the age characteristic in Table 12, among people with non-missing age, 57.9 percent of adds were people ages 0 through 24 years. Young people ages 0 through 24 years made up 35.3 percent of the entire population. This is a group that is traditionally undercounted, however, we cannot infer that these questions are good for improving the differential undercount because of the inadequacy of the data collected. Among the deletes, 67.3 percent of the people were ages 15 to 24 years. This may reflect college students who would be counted at college and often are included on their parents' return.

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the "Add" or "Cancel" box marked, a net count of added or deleted people should not be inferred from this table, nor should any inferences be made about the demographic distributions.

Table 12. Number and percent of added and deleted people by AGE

Age	Adds		Deletes		Entire population	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Total	77,050	100.0	83,160	100.0	281,421,906	100.0
0 to 14 years	20,891	29.4	3,562	4.8	60,253,375	21.4
15 to 24 years	20,262	28.5	49,727	67.3	39,183,891	13.9
25 to 34 years	12,356	17.4	8,488	11.5	39,891,724	14.2
35 to 44 years	7,606	10.7	4,368	5.9	45,148,527	16.0
45 to 54 years	4,409	6.2	1,986	2.7	37,677,952	13.4
55 to 64 years	2,548	3.6	1,075	1.5	24,274,684	8.6
65 to 74 years	1,675	2.4	1,211	1.6	18,390,986	6.5
75 to 84 years	869	1.2	1,900	2.6	12,361,180	4.4
85 years and over	395	0.0	1,598	2.2	4,239,587	1.5
Missing	6,039	-	9,245	-	-	-

Source: DRF2 and HCEF-D' (Variables: RSOURCE = 13-24, RPRSTAT = 1, RC1 = 1 or RC2 = 1, PADD = 1 or PCANCEL = 1, and PAGE); Census 2000 Summary File 1.

Males are traditionally undercounted. Within the entire population, 49.1 percent are males. Table 13 shows that, among people with non-missing sex, 56.5 percent of adds were males. However, we cannot infer that these questions are good for improving the differential undercount because of the inadequacy of the data collected. Males accounted for 56.7 percent of the deleted people.

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Add” or “Cancel” box marked, a net count of added or deleted people should not be inferred from this table, nor should any inferences be made about the demographic distributions.

Table 13. Number and percent of added and deleted people by SEX

Sex	Adds		Deletes		Entire population	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Total	77,050	100.0	83,160	100.0	281,421,906	100.0
Male	42,928	56.5	45,896	56.7	138,053,563	49.1
Female	33,023	43.5	35,038	43.3	143,368,343	50.9
Missing	1,099	-	2,226	-	-	-

Source: DRF2 and HCEF-D' (Variables: RSOURCE = 13-24, RPRSTAT = 1, RC1 = 1 or RC2 = 1, PADD = 1 or PCANCEL = 1, and PSEX); Census 2000 Summary File 1.

Table 14 shows that, among people with non-missing Hispanic origin, Hispanic people made up 25.3 percent of adds. However, Hispanics made up 12.5 percent of the entire population. We cannot infer that these questions are good for improving the differential undercount because of the inadequacy of the data collected. Among deleted people, 90.3 percent were Non-Hispanic.

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Add” or “Cancel” box marked, a net count of added or deleted people should not be inferred from this table, nor should any inferences be made about the demographic distributions.

Table 14. Number and percent of added and deleted people by HISPANIC ORIGIN

Hispanic origin	Adds		Deletes		Entire population	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Total	77,050	100.0	83,160	100.0	281,421,906	100.0
Non-Hispanic	56,178	74.7	70,589	90.3	246,116,088	87.5
Hispanic	19,076	25.3	7,578	9.7	35,305,818	12.5
Missing	1,796	-	4,993	-	-	-

Source: DRF2 and HCEF-D' (Variables: RSOURCE = 13-24, RPRSTAT = 1, RC1 = 1 or RC2 = 1, PADD = 1 or PCANCEL = 1, PSPAN01-PSPAN05, PSPANWI); Census 2000 Summary File 1.

Table 15 shows that 51.2 percent of added people with non-missing tenure were renters. Among the entire occupied housing unit population, renters accounted for 31.3 percent of people. We cannot infer that these questions are good for improving the differential undercount for renters because of the inadequacy of the data collected. About two-thirds of the deleted people with non-missing tenure were owners.

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Add” or “Cancel” box marked, a net count of added or deleted people should not be inferred from this table, nor should any inferences be made about the demographic distributions.

Table 15. Number and percent of added and deleted people by TENURE

Tenure	Adds		Deletes		Entire occupied housing unit population	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Total	77,050	100.0	83,160	100.0	273,643,273	100.0
Owner	36,249	48.8	49,552	66.1	187,965,615	68.7
Renter	38,085	51.2	25,462	33.9	85,677,658	31.3
Missing	2,716	-	8,146		-	-

Source: DRF2 and HCEF-D* (Variables: RSOURCE = 13-24, RPRSTAT = 1, RC1 = 1 or RC2 = 1, PADD = 1 or PCANCEL = 1, and RTENURE); Census 2000 Summary File 1.

4.2.2 What were the demographics of added people selected by PSA?

Tables 16 through 20 show the demographic characteristics of added people on surviving returns who were selected by PSA. By definition, no deleted people were selected. These tables also include the frequency distribution of each characteristic for the entire United States population from the Census 2000 Summary File 1 (SF 1), which has undergone editing and imputation, and includes the group quarters as well as the housing unit population unless stated otherwise.

Note that percents for each demographic characteristic in Tables 16 through 20 are calculated excluding people with missing values for that particular characteristic. See Appendix B for the values of the demographic variables used in this evaluation.

Among added people selected by PSA with non-missing race, 53.4 percent reported White and 21.4 percent reported Black, African American.

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Add” box marked, the estimates may not reflect the actual impact of the coverage question, and inferences about the demographic distributions of added people should not be made from this table.

Table 16. Number and percent of added people selected by PSA by RACE

Race	Adds selected by PSA		Entire population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Total	75,804	100.0	281,421,906	100.0
White	39,101	53.4	211,460,626	75.1
Black, African American	15,670	21.4	34,658,190	12.3
American Indian, Alaskan Native	2,715	3.7	2,475,956	0.9
Asian	3,311	4.5	10,242,998	4.8
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	390	0.5	398,835	1.2
Some Other Race	9,509	13.0	15,359,073	5.5
Two or More	2,487	3.4	6,826,228	2.4
Missing	2,621	-	-	-

Source: DRF2 and HCEF-D* (Variables: RSOURCE = 13-24, RPRSTAT = 1, RC1 = 1, PPSEL = 1, PADD = 1, and PRACE01-PRACE15); Census 2000 Summary File 1.

Table 17 shows that 57.9 percent of added people selected by PSA with non-missing age were young people aged 0 to 24 years old.

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Add” box marked, the estimates may not reflect the actual impact of the coverage question, and inferences about the demographic distributions of added people should not be made from this table.

Table 17. Number and percent of added people selected by PSA by AGE

Age	Adds selected by PSA		Entire population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Total	75,804	100.0	281,421,906	100.0
0 to 14 years	20,518	29.3	60,253,375	21.4
15 to 24 years	19,971	28.6	39,183,891	13.9
25 to 34 years	12,203	17.4	39,891,724	14.2
35 to 44 years	7,502	10.7	45,148,527	16.0
45 to 54 years	4,352	6.2	37,677,952	13.4
55 to 64 years	2,512	3.6	24,274,684	8.6
65 to 74 years	1,653	2.4	18,390,986	6.5
75 to 84 years	852	1.2	12,361,180	4.4
85 years and over	384	0.5	4,239,587	1.5
Missing	5,857	-	-	-

Source: DRF2 and HCEF-D' (Variables: RSOURCE = 13-24, RPRSTAT = 1, RC1 = 1, PPSEL = 1, PADD = 1, and PAGE); Census 2000 Summary File 1.

Table 18 shows that males made up 56.5 percent of added people who were selected by PSA with non-missing sex. Females made up 43.5 percent of this group.

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Add” box marked, the estimates may not reflect the actual impact of the coverage question, and inferences about the demographic distributions of added people should not be made from this table.

Table 18. Number and percent of added people selected by PSA by SEX

Sex	Adds selected by PSA		Entire population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Total	75,804	100.0	281,421,906	100.0
Male	42,277	56.5	138,053,563	49.1
Female	32,525	43.5	143,368,343	50.9
Missing	1,002	-	-	-

Source: DRF2 and HCEF-D' (Variables: RSOURCE = 13-24, RPRSTAT = 1, RC1 = 1, PPSEL = 1, PADD = 1, and PSEX); Census 2000 Summary File 1.

In Table 19, we see that about three-fourths (74.7 percent) of added people selected by PSA with non-missing Hispanic origin were Non-Hispanic.

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Add” box marked, the estimates may not reflect the actual impact of the coverage question, and inferences about the demographic distributions of added people should not be made from this table.

Table 19. Number and percent of added people selected by PSA by HISPANIC ORIGIN

Hispanic origin	Adds selected by PSA		Entire population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Total	75,804	100.0	281,421,906	100.0
Non-Hispanic	55,401	74.7	246,116,088	87.5
Hispanic	18,732	25.3	35,305,818	12.5
Missing	1,671	-	-	-

Source: DRF2 and HCEF-D' (Variables: RSOURCE = 13-24, RPRSTAT = 1, RC1 = 1, PPSEL = 1, PADD = 1, PSPAN01-PSPAN05, PSPANWI); Census 2000 Summary File 1.

Table 20 shows that renters accounted for 51.2 percent of added people with non-missing tenure who were selected by PSA.

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Add” box marked, the estimates may not reflect the actual impact of the coverage question, and inferences about the demographic distributions of added people should not be made from this table.

Table 20. Number and percent of added people selected by PSA by TENURE

Tenure	Adds selected by PSA		Entire occupied housing unit population	
	Number	%	Number	%
Total	75,804	100.0	273,643,273	100.0
Owner	35,674	48.8	187,965,615	68.7
Renter	37,482	51.2	85,677,658	31.3
Missing	2,648	-	-	-

Source: DRF2 and HCEF-D' (Variables: RSOURCE = 13-24, RPRSTAT = 1, RC1 = 1, PPSEL = 1, PADD = 1, and RTENURE); Census 2000 Summary File 1.

4.3 Enumerators' use of Questions C1 and C2

The following table summarizes the field observations in FASD's Motion and Time Studies of the CIFU, NRFU, and U/E operations. The observations were conducted in a limited number of areas, which are listed below.

CIFU	Concord, CA; Clarksville, IN; Louisville, KY
NRFU	Providence, RI; Clarksville, IN; Louisville, KY
U/E	El Paso, TX

Note: FASD did not conduct a Motion and Time Study of L/E for Census 2000.

Did the enumerators read Questions C1 and C2?

The information included here is directly from the Motion and Time Studies. There were only 417 enumerator interviews observed, which were not randomly selected. We note that roster changes were made in NRFU and U/E; however, the data do not explain what those changes were; specifically, whether people were added or deleted from the roster.

Table 21. Observation summary of coverage questions from CIFU, NRFU, and U/E

	CIFU		NRFU		U/E	
	Number of Interviews	Percent %	Number of Interviews	Percent %	Number of Interviews	Percent %
Total	55	100	208	100	154	100
Asked C1	35	64	184	88	134	87
Did not ask C1	20	36	24	12	20	13
Asked C2	34	62	170	82	130	84
Did not ask C2	21	38	38	18	24	16
Number of times roster changed as a result of C1 or C2	0	0	7	3	4	3

5. CONCLUSIONS

Among enumerator returns in the United States, the percentage with coverage Question C1 marked was similar to the percentage with Question C2 marked. Of the surviving basic enumerator returns, 66.1 percent had C1 marked and 65.9 percent had C2 marked. Among the operations, CIFU saw the lowest percentage of returns with these questions marked, 49.4 percent and 49.2 percent for C1 and C2, respectively. The NRFU response to these questions was approximately 68 percent for both.

When checking the people associated with these surviving returns, one finds that only 21.8 percent of the returns that had the “Yes” box marked for C1, had at least one person added; that is, someone with the “Add” box marked. A similar situation occurred with the returns having C2 marked as “Yes.” At least one person was deleted; that is, someone had the “Cancel” box marked, on 43.4 percent of the returns.

The enumerators may have forgotten to mark the “Add” or “Cancel” box when a roster change was necessary. People may have been added, but the “Add” box was not marked. For deleted people, although there were returns with C2 marked “Yes” (we included someone who should have been counted elsewhere), we cannot determine who should have been deleted if there is no one with the “Cancel” box marked.

This inconsistent and incomplete information makes it difficult to get an accurate account of the people who were missed or included in error. Therefore, we are unable to determine a net result of people added or deleted from the data in this report. For the same reason, the demographic data included in this document may not accurately reflect the distributions of the people who were truly added to or deleted from the household rosters.

Based on the information about the number of “Add” and “Cancel” boxes marked, we have added 77,050 people and deleted 83,160 people. Among the people recorded as adds, 46.6 percent were non-Whites, 57.9 percent were young people (ages 0 to 24), 56.5 percent were males, and 51.2 percent were renters. These groups are traditionally undercounted, however, we cannot infer that these two coverage questions are good for improving the differential undercount because of the inadequacy of the data collected.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS

Coverage questions are a useful tool for capturing those people who would have been missed in the census or for excluding those people who should have been counted elsewhere. The coverage questions, C1 and C2, did not work as presented. More research to explore other solutions is needed. The questions may need to be redesigned and tested for different audiences. Certain formats may work better in different situations or with different respondents.

There are two main schools of thought about census coverage. One suggests, as we did in Census 2000, that we collect everybody that we can on the household roster and then filter the list using the coverage questions to determine who to add or delete. The other side agrees with the 1990 method of telling the respondent up front who should be included or not included on the roster. Maybe a compromise can be reached such as providing to the respondent a flash card with the types of people to include or exclude.

Although this evaluation did not address proxy respondents or returns for vacant housing units, and we, therefore, do not know the impact they may have had on the reported data, one suggestion for improving the response to the coverage questions is adding a “Don’t know” response option. If the information is for a vacant unit or is provided by a proxy, there may not be enough information to give a definite “yes” or “no” answer. This may also be helpful when respondents refuse to answer or simply don’t know. Further analysis may be necessary.

Because of the low percentage of returns with the “Add” and “Cancel” boxes marked when the coverage questions were answered in the affirmative, we recommend providing additional space immediately following the coverage questions for entering the name of the people to be added or deleted.

Automated instruments may help to improve the data collection process by requiring a response to each coverage question, and subsequent data entry for an affirmative answer, before allowing the enumerator to continue with the next question.

Although we are unsure why the coverage questions C1 and C2 were left blank by enumerators one-third of the time, we feel that enumerators may need more training to understand the purpose and importance of asking the questions.

7. REFERENCES

Census 2000 Enumerator Short Form Questionnaire, Form D-1(E).

Census 2000 Enumerator Long Form Questionnaire, Form D-2(E).

“Detailed Tables from Census 2000 Summary File 1 (SF 1) 100-Percent Data,” American FactFinder, September 26, 2002.

Katzoff, Ellen. “Decennial Response File–Stage2 (DRF2) Final (Revision 3),” 2000 Decennial Census Documentation, October 3, 2000.

Memorandum from Howard Hogan for Ruth Ann Killion, “Study Plan for Coverage Gains from Coverage Questions (C1-C2) on Enumerator Completed Questionnaires for Census, I.5,” DSSD Census 2000 Procedures and Operations Memorandum Series #BB-32.

Memorandum from Nevins A. Frankel for Brian Monaghan, “Report of the Work Measurement Study of Coverage Improvement Followup (CIFU) - Census 2000,” April 24, 2001.

Memorandum from Nevins A. Frankel for Brian Monaghan, “Report of the Work Measurement Study of Nonresponse Followup - Census 2000,” November 15, 2000.

Memorandum from Nevins A. Frankel for Brian Monaghan, “Report of the Work Measurement Study of Update/Enumerate - Census 2000,” September 6, 2000.

Memorandum from Rajendra P. Singh for Ruth Ann Killion, “Final Report for List/Enumerate - F.13,” May 23, 2002.

Memorandum from Rajendra P. Singh for Ruth Ann Killion, “Final Report for Update/Enumerate - F.12.”

Moul, Darlene A. (2003), “Coverage Improvement Followup,” DSSD Census 2000 Evaluation I.4, U.S. Bureau of the Census, February 20, 2003 (Draft).

Moul, Darlene A. (2002), “Nonresponse Followup for Census 2000,” DSSD Census 2000 Evaluation H.5, U.S. Bureau of the Census, July 25, 2002.

Intentionally Blank

Table A1. Response to Question C1 for all enumerator returns by state

States	Total	C1 Marked		C1 Marked as "Yes"	
	Number	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	46,971,700	27,895,851	59.4	311,286	1.1
Alabama	962,372	507,242	52.7	5,516	1.1
Alaska	147,237	92,094	62.5	999	1.1
Arizona	1,056,297	553,592	52.4	6,950	1.3
Arkansas	529,695	289,760	54.7	2,900	1.0
California	4,426,491	3,014,327	68.1	34,712	1.2
Colorado	658,717	392,304	59.6	4,211	1.1
Connecticut	490,503	329,438	67.2	4,038	1.2
Delaware	158,434	74,612	47.1	819	1.1
D.C.	139,700	74,791	53.5	1,300	1.7
Florida	3,177,980	1,697,308	53.4	19,054	1.1
Georgia	1,318,161	798,024	60.5	9,435	1.2
Hawaii	224,227	125,032	55.8	1,177	0.9
Idaho	222,377	131,594	59.2	1,281	1.0
Illinois	1,858,308	1,160,414	62.4	14,482	1.2
Indiana	955,606	568,091	59.4	5,882	1.0
Iowa	369,526	224,078	60.6	2,162	1.0
Kansas	411,908	243,589	59.1	2,422	1.0
Kentucky	741,001	447,099	60.3	4,377	1.0
Louisiana	902,521	531,431	58.9	5,703	1.1
Maine	346,112	167,658	48.4	1,483	0.9
Maryland	841,852	484,669	57.6	6,665	1.4
Massachusetts	994,740	661,743	66.5	8,216	1.2
Michigan	1,488,512	794,542	53.4	8,366	1.1
Minnesota	652,096	386,047	59.2	3,761	1.0
Mississippi	539,354	325,750	60.4	3,907	1.2
Missouri	913,966	496,281	54.3	4,537	0.9
Montana	180,967	98,294	54.3	915	0.9
Nebraska	225,096	135,127	60.0	1,436	1.1
Nevada	377,775	233,269	61.7	2,900	1.2
New Hampshire	243,992	135,721	55.6	1,354	1.0
New Jersey	1,294,374	796,790	61.6	9,162	1.2
New Mexico	406,866	230,330	56.6	2,997	1.3
New York	3,441,865	2,087,871	60.7	27,044	1.3
North Carolina	1,610,543	914,108	56.8	9,663	1.1
North Dakota	112,303	62,450	55.6	567	0.9
Ohio	1,583,259	1,014,758	64.1	8,882	0.9
Oklahoma	683,962	374,940	54.8	3,772	1.0
Oregon	558,499	355,007	63.6	3,697	1.0
Pennsylvania	1,951,746	1,121,825	57.5	12,380	1.1
Rhode Island	181,682	109,168	60.1	1,162	1.1
South Carolina	899,751	481,360	53.5	5,293	1.1
South Dakota	125,277	73,734	58.9	859	1.2
Tennessee	1,065,605	635,688	59.7	6,351	1.0
Texas	3,773,710	2,224,220	58.9	25,538	1.1
Utah	302,979	195,107	64.4	2,533	1.3
Vermont	155,324	82,174	52.9	897	1.1
Virginia	1,034,644	632,061	61.1	6,464	1.0
Washington	989,326	653,025	66.0	6,762	1.0
West Virginia	364,803	195,642	53.6	1,441	0.7
Wisconsin	760,289	408,617	53.7	4,120	1.0
Wyoming	119,370	73,055	61.2	742	1.0

Table A2. Response to Question C1 for basic enumerator returns by state

States	Total	C1 Marked		C1 Marked as "Yes"	
	Number	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	36,632,439	24,386,318	66.6	273,599	1.1
Alabama	727,595	453,803	62.4	4,992	1.1
Alaska	125,935	84,539	67.1	936	1.1
Arizona	825,436	494,479	59.9	6,289	1.3
Arkansas	395,756	252,336	63.8	2,504	1.0
California	3,502,401	2,620,702	74.8	30,357	1.2
Colorado	388,515	284,865	73.3	3,520	1.2
Connecticut	527,505	345,449	65.5	3,720	1.1
Delaware	118,723	64,467	54.3	695	1.1
D.C.	100,815	63,922	63.4	1,124	1.8
Florida	2,580,767	1,490,164	57.7	16,784	1.1
Georgia	1,054,556	705,708	66.9	8,348	1.2
Hawaii	174,945	109,768	62.7	1,011	0.9
Idaho	180,545	116,605	64.6	1,156	1.0
Illinois	1,372,519	986,402	71.9	12,391	1.3
Indiana	725,538	478,011	65.9	4,972	1.0
Iowa	284,183	189,966	66.8	1,866	1.0
Kansas	315,428	209,328	66.4	2,124	1.0
Kentucky	573,914	394,891	68.8	3,867	1.0
Louisiana	694,641	471,529	67.9	5,047	1.1
Maine	295,674	155,012	52.4	1,379	0.9
Maryland	636,242	418,313	65.7	5,803	1.4
Massachusetts	802,380	582,722	72.6	7,248	1.2
Michigan	1,166,314	683,075	58.6	7,223	1.1
Minnesota	498,040	312,154	62.7	3,080	1.0
Mississippi	698,015	430,377	61.7	3,958	0.9
Missouri	416,164	287,678	69.1	3,504	1.2
Montana	145,934	88,214	60.4	829	0.9
Nebraska	177,333	115,522	65.1	1,235	1.1
Nevada	304,219	211,587	69.6	2,609	1.2
New Hampshire	201,594	121,334	60.2	1,202	1.0
New Jersey	994,358	690,744	69.5	8,001	1.2
New Mexico	325,647	206,972	63.6	2,724	1.3
New York	2,678,950	1,862,542	69.5	24,202	1.3
North Carolina	1,239,506	800,061	64.5	8,520	1.1
North Dakota	90,000	55,338	61.5	505	0.9
Ohio	1,261,014	887,471	70.4	7,808	0.9
Oklahoma	515,068	328,999	63.9	3,318	1.0
Oregon	445,789	311,734	69.9	3,276	1.1
Pennsylvania	1,518,692	975,999	64.3	10,799	1.1
Rhode Island	136,413	96,840	71.0	1,048	1.1
South Carolina	676,923	421,213	62.2	4,625	1.1
South Dakota	96,657	61,524	63.7	749	1.2
Tennessee	807,096	563,362	69.8	5,667	1.0
Texas	2,897,415	1,960,960	67.7	22,665	1.2
Utah	247,584	171,416	69.2	2,204	1.3
Vermont	132,513	75,257	56.8	831	1.1
Virginia	785,823	543,446	69.2	5,581	1.0
Washington	779,949	566,810	72.7	5,857	1.0
West Virginia	286,370	174,223	60.8	1,283	0.7
Wisconsin	604,952	341,576	56.5	3,482	1.0
Wyoming	100,094	66,909	66.8	681	1.0

State Tables

APPENDIX A

Table A3. Response to Question C1 for surviving basic enumerator returns by state

States	Total		C1 Marked		C1 Marked as "Yes"	
	Number		Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	35,872,321		23,694,688	66.1	267,452	1.1
Alabama	705,375		433,772	61.5	4,829	1.1
Alaska	124,156		82,822	66.7	927	1.1
Arizona	807,615		480,715	59.5	6,162	1.3
Arkansas	384,267		241,666	62.9	2,412	1.0
California	3,454,650		2,576,914	74.6	29,946	1.2
Colorado	518,375		337,246	65.1	3,640	1.1
Connecticut	380,828		277,861	73.0	3,449	1.2
Delaware	116,376		62,607	53.8	680	1.1
D.C.	100,594		63,801	63.4	1,122	1.8
Florida	2,540,131		1,457,080	57.4	16,427	1.1
Georgia	1,024,019		677,835	66.2	8,128	1.2
Hawaii	169,957		105,413	62.0	965	0.9
Idaho	176,559		112,852	63.9	1,130	1.0
Illinois	1,344,720		960,694	71.4	12,118	1.3
Indiana	707,676		462,079	65.3	4,850	1.1
Iowa	277,726		183,941	66.2	1,821	1.0
Kansas	309,295		203,706	65.9	2,090	1.0
Kentucky	556,688		378,593	68.0	3,756	1.0
Louisiana	675,724		454,004	67.2	4,905	1.1
Maine	291,410		151,006	51.8	1,352	0.9
Maryland	624,399		407,813	65.3	5,685	1.4
Massachusetts	787,482		568,929	72.2	7,100	1.2
Michigan	1,146,043		664,458	58.0	7,079	1.1
Minnesota	488,803		303,380	62.1	3,016	1.0
Mississippi	684,026		417,189	61.0	3,865	0.9
Missouri	400,433		273,161	68.2	3,359	1.2
Montana	144,031		86,391	60.0	819	0.9
Nebraska	174,996		113,328	64.8	1,208	1.1
Nevada	300,974		208,732	69.4	2,584	1.2
New Hampshire	197,354		117,374	59.5	1,162	1.0
New Jersey	972,182		670,854	69.0	7,840	1.2
New Mexico	318,224		200,335	63.0	2,654	1.3
New York	2,625,039		1,813,089	69.1	23,598	1.3
North Carolina	1,204,449		767,288	63.7	8,216	1.1
North Dakota	88,432		53,876	60.9	493	0.9
Ohio	1,237,867		865,703	69.9	7,654	0.9
Oklahoma	505,851		320,367	63.3	3,245	1.0
Oregon	436,512		303,526	69.5	3,200	1.1
Pennsylvania	1,482,206		941,702	63.5	10,519	1.1
Rhode Island	133,679		94,335	70.6	1,022	1.1
South Carolina	653,032		399,787	61.2	4,434	1.1
South Dakota	95,276		60,211	63.2	739	1.2
Tennessee	782,740		540,867	69.1	5,528	1.0
Texas	2,844,262		1,912,697	67.2	22,239	1.2
Utah	242,801		166,953	68.8	2,157	1.3
Vermont	129,886		72,716	56.0	810	1.1
Virginia	770,908		529,324	68.7	5,472	1.0
Washington	763,197		551,534	72.3	5,725	1.0
West Virginia	279,967		168,014	60.0	1,258	0.7
Wisconsin	592,115		330,255	55.8	3,394	1.0
Wyoming	99,014		65,893	66.5	669	1.0

State Tables

APPENDIX A

Table A4. Distribution of housing units with C1 marked “Yes” by number of people added and state

States	Total		Distribution of added people									
	Number	1		2		3		4		5+		
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
U.S. Total	58,215	46,617	80.1	7,565	13.0	2,249	3.9	1,025	1.8	759	1.3	
Alabama	787	654	83.1	92	11.7	23	2.9	12	1.5	6	0.8	
Alaska	372	300	80.6	52	14.0	11	3.0	6	1.6	3	0.8	
Arizona	1,589	1,196	75.3	237	14.9	86	5.4	33	2.1	37	2.3	
Arkansas	433	358	82.7	52	12.0	10	2.3	7	1.6	6	1.4	
California	8,767	6,853	78.2	1,224	14.0	371	4.2	171	2.0	148	1.7	
Colorado	1,038	868	83.6	127	12.2	27	2.6	8	0.8	8	0.8	
Connecticut	719	560	77.9	107	14.9	33	4.6	10	1.4	9	1.3	
Delaware	123	99	80.5	15	12.2	6	4.9	2	1.6	1	0.8	
D.C.	153	112	73.2	22	14.4	12	7.8	3	2.0	4	2.6	
Florida	3,256	2,655	81.5	413	12.7	104	3.2	48	1.5	36	1.1	
Georgia	1,383	1,121	81.1	178	12.9	47	3.4	24	1.7	13	0.9	
Hawaii	355	279	78.6	50	14.1	11	3.1	8	2.3	7	2.0	
Idaho	371	316	85.2	31	8.4	12	3.2	6	1.6	6	1.6	
Illinois	2,144	1,696	79.1	285	13.3	76	3.5	55	2.6	32	1.5	
Indiana	824	688	83.5	88	10.7	30	3.6	11	1.3	7	0.9	
Iowa	370	302	81.6	44	11.9	14	3.8	5	1.4	5	1.4	
Kansas	455	377	82.9	41	9.0	24	5.3	9	2.0	4	0.9	
Kentucky	694	591	85.2	75	10.8	19	2.7	7	1.0	2	0.3	
Louisiana	996	809	81.2	130	13.1	40	4.0	12	1.2	5	0.5	
Maine	271	224	82.7	35	12.9	9	3.3	3	1.1	0	0.0	
Maryland	1,006	801	79.6	131	13.0	45	4.5	17	1.7	12	1.2	
Massachusetts	963	773	80.3	113	11.7	34	3.5	30	3.1	13	1.4	
Michigan	1,682	1,344	79.9	228	13.6	61	3.6	29	1.7	20	1.2	
Minnesota	819	668	81.6	98	12.0	30	3.7	14	1.7	9	1.1	
Mississippi	593	484	81.6	76	12.8	19	3.2	10	1.7	4	0.7	
Missouri	850	706	83.1	95	11.2	27	3.2	16	1.9	6	0.7	
Montana	313	265	84.7	35	11.2	10	3.2	2	0.6	1	0.3	
Nebraska	291	243	83.5	31	10.7	8	2.7	5	1.7	4	1.4	
Nevada	565	419	74.2	83	14.7	21	3.7	18	3.2	24	4.2	
New Hampshire	243	209	86.0	29	11.9	4	1.6	0	0.0	1	0.4	

State Tables

APPENDIX A

States	Total		Distribution of added people									
	Number	1		2		3		4		5+		
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
New Jersey	1,484	1,167	78.6	201	13.5	73	4.9	27	1.8	16	1.1	
New Mexico	823	639	77.6	116	14.1	35	4.3	17	2.1	16	1.9	
New York	4,260	3,288	77.2	619	14.5	196	4.6	83	1.9	74	1.7	
North Carolina	1,512	1,215	80.4	199	13.2	61	4.0	31	2.1	6	0.4	
North Dakota	147	120	81.6	17	11.6	8	5.4	1	0.7	1	0.7	
Ohio	1,793	1,468	81.9	222	12.4	63	3.5	29	1.6	11	0.6	
Oklahoma	711	586	82.4	76	10.7	30	4.2	13	1.8	6	0.8	
Oregon	992	829	83.6	116	11.7	32	3.2	8	0.8	7	0.7	
Pennsylvania	1,742	1,418	81.4	228	13.1	43	2.5	29	1.7	24	1.4	
Rhode Island	182	140	76.9	30	16.5	8	4.4	3	1.6	1	0.5	
South Carolina	792	653	82.4	94	11.9	27	3.4	7	0.9	11	1.4	
South Dakota	193	154	79.8	24	12.4	4	2.1	7	3.6	4	2.1	
Tennessee	1,159	957	82.6	145	12.5	35	3.0	13	1.1	9	0.8	
Texas	4,968	3,917	78.8	622	12.5	227	4.6	106	2.1	96	1.9	
Utah	706	563	79.7	94	13.3	29	4.1	12	1.7	8	1.1	
Vermont	159	124	78.0	23	14.5	9	5.7	2	1.3	1	0.6	
Virginia	1,307	1,053	80.6	180	13.8	46	3.5	19	1.5	9	0.7	
Washington	1,743	1,459	83.7	199	11.4	53	3.0	19	1.1	13	0.7	
West Virginia	273	237	86.8	25	9.2	7	2.6	3	1.1	1	0.4	
Wisconsin	666	514	77.2	91	13.7	35	5.3	14	2.1	12	1.8	
Wyoming	178	146	82.0	27	15.2	4	2.2	1	0.6	0	0.0	

Table A5. Response to Question C2 for all enumerator returns by state

States	Total		C2 Marked		C2 Marked as "Yes"	
	Number		Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	46,971,700		27,812,200	59.2	204,688	0.7
Alabama	962,372		505,471	52.5	3,180	0.6
Alaska	147,237		92,022	62.5	849	0.9
Arizona	1,056,297		551,892	52.2	3,739	0.7
Arkansas	529,695		288,926	54.5	1,604	0.6
California	4,426,491		3,006,737	67.9	19,848	0.7
Colorado	658,717		391,152	59.4	2,648	0.7
Connecticut	490,503		328,285	66.9	3,380	1.0
Delaware	158,434		74,226	46.9	649	0.9
D.C.	139,700		74,346	53.2	633	0.9
Florida	3,177,980		1,690,871	53.2	11,056	0.7
Georgia	1,318,161		795,116	60.3	5,591	0.7
Hawaii	224,227		124,738	55.6	950	0.8
Idaho	222,377		131,356	59.1	794	0.6
Illinois	1,858,308		1,156,827	62.3	8,900	0.8
Indiana	955,606		566,214	59.3	3,994	0.7
Iowa	369,526		223,494	60.5	1,444	0.6
Kansas	411,908		242,804	58.9	1,777	0.7
Kentucky	741,001		445,968	60.2	2,912	0.7
Louisiana	902,521		530,018	58.7	3,688	0.7
Maine	346,112		167,235	48.3	1,338	0.8
Maryland	841,852		482,828	57.4	4,387	0.9
Massachusetts	994,740		659,386	66.3	6,538	1.0
Michigan	1,488,512		792,377	53.2	5,422	0.7
Minnesota	652,096		385,155	59.1	2,653	0.7
Mississippi	539,354		324,767	60.2	2,554	0.8
Missouri	913,966		495,152	54.2	3,079	0.6
Montana	180,967		98,139	54.2	700	0.7
Nebraska	225,096		134,723	59.9	1,065	0.8
Nevada	377,775		232,642	61.6	1,280	0.6
New Hampshire	243,992		135,292	55.4	1,247	0.9
New Jersey	1,294,374		794,361	61.4	7,585	1.0
New Mexico	406,866		229,730	56.5	1,722	0.8
New York	3,441,865		2,080,806	60.5	17,646	0.8
North Carolina	1,610,543		911,495	56.6	7,605	0.8
North Dakota	112,303		62,320	55.5	512	0.8
Ohio	1,583,259		1,012,174	63.9	6,628	0.7
Oklahoma	683,962		373,981	54.7	2,355	0.6
Oregon	558,499		353,969	63.4	1,937	0.5
Pennsylvania	1,951,746		1,118,490	57.3	10,311	0.9
Rhode Island	181,682		108,783	59.9	850	0.8
South Carolina	899,751		479,408	53.3	3,697	0.8
South Dakota	125,277		73,567	58.7	605	0.8
Tennessee	1,065,605		633,834	59.5	3,987	0.6
Texas	3,773,710		2,217,545	58.8	14,212	0.6
Utah	302,979		194,625	64.2	1,322	0.7
Vermont	155,324		81,977	52.8	788	1.0
Virginia	1,034,644		630,468	60.9	6,057	1.0
Washington	989,326		651,206	65.8	4,409	0.7
West Virginia	364,803		195,171	53.5	1,028	0.5
Wisconsin	760,289		407,294	53.6	2,924	0.7
Wyoming	119,370		72,837	61.0	609	0.8

Table A6. Response to Question C2 for basic enumerator returns by state

States	Total	C2 Marked		C2 Marked as "Yes"	
	Number	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	36,632,439	24,314,553	66.4	172,886	0.7
Alabama	727,595	452,249	62.2	2,704	0.6
Alaska	125,935	84,468	67.1	766	0.9
Arizona	825,436	492,991	59.7	3,282	0.7
Arkansas	395,756	251,607	63.6	1,311	0.5
California	3,502,401	2,614,277	74.6	16,783	0.6
Colorado	388,515	283,909	73.1	2,791	1.0
Connecticut	527,505	344,447	65.3	2,276	0.7
Delaware	118,723	64,147	54.0	533	0.8
D.C.	100,815	63,532	63.0	531	0.8
Florida	2,580,767	1,484,639	57.5	9,477	0.6
Georgia	1,054,556	703,188	66.7	4,783	0.7
Hawaii	174,945	109,524	62.6	806	0.7
Idaho	180,545	116,391	64.5	672	0.6
Illinois	1,372,519	983,425	71.7	7,276	0.7
Indiana	725,538	476,437	65.7	3,213	0.7
Iowa	284,183	189,458	66.7	1,162	0.6
Kansas	315,428	208,650	66.1	1,449	0.7
Kentucky	573,914	393,895	68.6	2,475	0.6
Louisiana	694,641	470,280	67.7	3,178	0.7
Maine	295,674	154,631	52.3	1,218	0.8
Maryland	636,242	416,806	65.5	3,647	0.9
Massachusetts	802,380	580,724	72.4	5,594	1.0
Michigan	1,166,314	681,259	58.4	4,441	0.7
Minnesota	498,040	311,441	62.5	2,060	0.7
Mississippi	698,015	429,429	61.5	2,546	0.6
Missouri	416,164	286,845	68.9	2,170	0.8
Montana	145,934	88,070	60.3	630	0.7
Nebraska	177,333	115,179	65.0	863	0.7
Nevada	304,219	211,010	69.4	1,109	0.5
New Hampshire	201,594	120,953	60.0	1,063	0.9
New Jersey	994,358	688,664	69.3	6,352	0.9
New Mexico	325,647	206,433	63.4	1,555	0.8
New York	2,678,950	1,856,374	69.3	15,393	0.8
North Carolina	1,239,506	797,816	64.4	6,446	0.8
North Dakota	90,000	55,226	61.4	451	0.8
Ohio	1,261,014	885,241	70.2	5,525	0.6
Oklahoma	515,068	328,178	63.7	1,989	0.6
Oregon	445,789	310,848	69.7	1,617	0.5
Pennsylvania	1,518,692	973,141	64.1	8,589	0.9
Rhode Island	136,413	96,485	70.7	694	0.7
South Carolina	676,923	419,496	62.0	3,094	0.7
South Dakota	96,657	61,395	63.5	515	0.8
Tennessee	807,096	561,781	69.6	3,355	0.6
Texas	2,897,415	1,955,214	67.5	12,146	0.6
Utah	247,584	170,992	69.1	1,146	0.7
Vermont	132,513	75,065	56.6	700	0.9
Virginia	785,823	542,113	69.0	5,006	0.9
Washington	779,949	565,262	72.5	3,700	0.7
West Virginia	286,370	173,817	60.7	874	0.5
Wisconsin	604,952	340,447	56.3	2,383	0.7
Wyoming	100,094	66,704	66.6	547	0.8

Table A7. Response to Question C2 for surviving basic enumerator returns by state

States	Total	C1 Marked		C1 Marked as "Yes"	
	Number	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	35,872,321	23,624,967	65.9	168,370	0.7
Alabama	705,375	432,283	61.3	2,593	0.6
Alaska	124,156	82,752	66.7	750	0.9
Arizona	807,615	479,283	59.3	3,218	0.7
Arkansas	384,267	240,963	62.7	1,266	0.5
California	3,454,650	2,570,628	74.4	16,533	0.6
Colorado	518,375	336,268	64.9	2,213	0.7
Connecticut	380,828	276,925	72.7	2,739	1.0
Delaware	116,376	62,289	53.5	520	0.8
D.C.	100,594	63,411	63.0	529	0.8
Florida	2,540,131	1,451,667	57.1	9,255	0.6
Georgia	1,024,019	675,404	66.0	4,609	0.7
Hawaii	169,957	105,182	61.9	768	0.7
Idaho	176,559	112,647	63.8	649	0.6
Illinois	1,344,720	957,803	71.2	7,079	0.7
Indiana	707,676	460,543	65.1	3,117	0.7
Iowa	277,726	183,450	66.1	1,120	0.6
Kansas	309,295	203,041	65.6	1,410	0.7
Kentucky	556,688	377,647	67.8	2,389	0.6
Louisiana	675,724	452,798	67.0	3,094	0.7
Maine	291,410	150,632	51.7	1,189	0.8
Maryland	624,399	406,341	65.1	3,575	0.9
Massachusetts	787,482	566,976	72.0	5,478	1.0
Michigan	1,146,043	662,709	57.8	4,330	0.7
Minnesota	488,803	302,684	61.9	1,992	0.7
Mississippi	400,433	272,366	68.0	2,053	0.8
Missouri	684,026	416,265	60.9	2,475	0.6
Montana	144,031	86,252	59.9	622	0.7
Nebraska	174,996	112,992	64.6	849	0.8
Nevada	300,974	208,166	69.2	1,094	0.5
New Hampshire	197,354	117,000	59.3	1,027	0.9
New Jersey	972,182	668,835	68.8	6,182	0.9
New Mexico	318,224	199,823	62.8	1,521	0.8
New York	2,625,039	1,807,082	68.8	14,982	0.8
North Carolina	1,204,449	765,132	63.5	6,212	0.8
North Dakota	88,432	53,765	60.8	445	0.8
Ohio	1,237,867	863,537	69.8	5,393	0.6
Oklahoma	505,851	319,568	63.2	1,944	0.6
Oregon	436,512	302,671	69.3	1,580	0.5
Pennsylvania	1,482,206	938,950	63.3	8,302	0.9
Rhode Island	133,679	93,990	70.3	673	0.7
South Carolina	653,032	398,145	61.0	2,953	0.7
South Dakota	95,276	60,083	63.1	501	0.8
Tennessee	782,740	539,341	68.9	3,264	0.6
Texas	2,844,262	1,907,097	67.1	11,887	0.6
Utah	242,801	166,538	68.6	1,119	0.7
Vermont	129,886	72,527	55.8	685	0.9
Virginia	770,908	528,007	68.5	4,906	0.9
Washington	763,197	550,022	72.1	3,595	0.7
West Virginia	279,967	167,625	59.9	851	0.5
Wisconsin	592,115	329,163	55.6	2,312	0.7
Wyoming	99,014	65,699	66.4	528	0.8

State Tables

APPENDIX A

Table A8. Distribution of housing units with C2 marked “Yes” by number of people deleted and state

States	Total	Distribution of deleted people									
		1		2		3		4		5+	
	Number	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	73,019	64,559	88.4	7,169	9.8	985	1.3	237	0.3	69	0.1
Alabama	917	819	89.3	86	9.4	10	1.1	2	0.2	0	0.0
Alaska	425	359	84.5	53	12.5	11	2.6	2	0.5	0	0.0
Arizona	1,265	1,093	86.4	140	11.1	22	1.7	8	0.6	2	0.2
Arkansas	459	408	88.9	42	9.2	6	1.3	3	0.7	0	0.0
California	6,914	6,065	87.7	701	10.1	105	1.5	37	0.5	6	0.1
Colorado	940	832	88.5	97	10.3	10	1.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
Connecticut	1,340	1,164	86.9	155	11.6	20	1.5	1	0.1	0	0.0
Delaware	199	179	90.0	16	8.0	3	1.5	0	0.0	1	0.5
D.C.	211	186	88.2	21	10.0	3	1.4	1	0.5	0	0.0
Florida	3,344	3,014	90.1	276	8.3	36	1.1	12	0.4	6	0.2
Georgia	1,628	1,441	88.5	160	9.8	20	1.2	7	0.4	0	0.0
Hawaii	417	367	88.0	33	7.9	12	2.9	3	0.7	2	0.5
Idaho	297	265	89.2	28	9.4	3	1.0	0	0.0	1	0.3
Illinois	2,833	2,508	88.5	284	10.0	32	1.1	7	0.2	2	0.1
Indiana	1,311	1,192	90.9	99	7.6	17	1.3	2	0.2	1	0.1
Iowa	454	403	88.8	43	9.5	7	1.5	1	0.2	0	0.0
Kansas	630	575	91.3	47	7.5	7	1.1	1	0.2	0	0.0
Kentucky	984	907	92.2	60	6.1	13	1.3	3	0.3	1	0.1
Louisiana	1,306	1,172	89.7	121	9.3	12	0.9	1	0.1	0	0.0
Maine	670	584	87.2	76	11.3	6	0.9	3	0.4	1	0.1
Maryland	1,610	1,438	89.3	149	9.3	15	0.9	5	0.3	3	0.2
Massachusetts	2,497	2,144	85.9	307	12.3	36	1.4	7	0.3	3	0.1
Michigan	1,871	1,637	87.5	201	10.7	24	1.3	6	0.3	3	0.2
Minnesota	894	786	87.9	88	9.8	15	1.7	5	0.6	0	0.0
Mississippi	907	822	90.6	70	7.7	11	1.2	2	0.2	2	0.2
Missouri	1,044	941	90.1	85	8.1	12	1.1	5	0.5	1	0.1
Montana	348	302	86.8	41	11.8	5	1.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
Nebraska	428	361	84.3	54	12.6	8	1.9	4	0.9	1	0.2
Nevada	324	271	83.6	46	14.2	3	0.9	4	1.2	0	0.0
New Hampshire	590	499	84.6	82	13.9	7	1.2	2	0.3	0	0.0

State Tables

APPENDIX A

States	Total	Distribution of deleted people									
		1		2		3		4		5+	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
New Jersey	3,019	2,630	87.1	354	11.7	32	1.1	1	0.0	2	0.1
New Mexico	669	588	87.9	66	9.9	13	1.9	2	0.3	0	0.0
New York	6,538	5,747	87.9	682	10.4	90	1.4	15	0.2	4	0.1
North Carolina	2,851	2,531	88.8	265	9.3	43	1.5	12	0.4	0	0.0
North Dakota	259	231	89.2	26	10.0	1	0.4	1	0.4	0	0.0
Ohio	2,414	2,151	89.1	224	9.3	31	1.3	6	0.2	2	0.1
Oklahoma	818	718	87.8	81	9.9	12	1.5	6	0.7	1	0.1
Oregon	649	564	86.9	63	9.7	16	2.5	4	0.6	2	0.3
Pennsylvania	4,153	3,672	88.4	417	10.0	50	1.2	13	0.3	1	0.0
Rhode Island	303	256	84.5	40	13.2	6	2.0	1	0.3	0	0.0
South Carolina	1,268	1,145	90.3	101	8.0	15	1.2	5	0.4	2	0.2
South Dakota	244	221	90.6	19	7.8	4	1.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
Tennessee	1,286	1,155	89.8	115	8.9	13	1.0	2	0.2	1	0.1
Texas	4,601	4,110	89.3	426	9.3	48	1.0	13	0.3	4	0.1
Utah	468	418	89.3	34	7.3	12	2.6	1	0.2	3	0.6
Vermont	392	337	86.0	51	13.0	4	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Virginia	2,695	2,383	88.4	244	9.1	56	2.1	6	0.2	6	0.2
Washington	1,576	1,398	88.7	135	8.6	30	1.9	10	0.6	3	0.2
West Virginia	414	374	90.3	37	8.9	3	0.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
Wisconsin	1,054	937	88.9	100	9.5	12	1.1	3	0.3	2	0.2
Wyoming	291	259	89.0	28	9.6	3	1.0	1	0.3	0	0.0

State Tables

APPENDIX A

Table A9. Number and percent of added people by state and RACE

States	Total	Race							
	Number	White		Black, African American		American Indian, Alaskan Native		Asian	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	77,050	39,617	51.4	15,910	20.6	2,790	3.6	3,375	4.4
Alabama	989	518	52.4	388	39.2	10	1.0	8	0.8
Alaska	476	220	46.2	21	4.4	146	30.7	24	5.0
Arizona	2,300	952	41.4	62	2.7	777	33.8	30	1.3
Arkansas	556	356	64.0	149	26.8	6	1.1	2	0.4
California	11,993	4,946	41.2	1,363	11.4	179	1.5	1,079	9.0
Colorado	1,276	798	62.5	103	8.1	23	1.8	32	2.5
Connecticut	961	540	56.2	177	18.4	8	0.8	35	3.6
Delaware	160	83	51.9	57	35.6	0	0.0	1	0.6
D.C.	226	29	12.8	136	60.2	0	0.0	10	4.4
Florida	4,196	2,377	56.6	1,141	27.2	38	0.9	80	1.9
Georgia	1,789	793	44.3	717	40.1	8	0.4	48	2.7
Hawaii	486	80	16.5	10	2.1	2	0.4	124	25.5
Idaho	474	366	77.2	1	0.2	17	3.6	1	0.2
Illinois	2,892	1,313	45.4	764	26.4	21	0.7	159	5.5
Indiana	1,038	733	70.6	176	17.0	7	0.7	14	1.3
Iowa	477	375	78.6	34	7.1	7	1.5	21	4.4
Kansas	593	362	61.0	71	12.0	25	4.2	18	3.0
Kentucky	836	642	76.8	144	17.2	5	0.6	3	0.4
Louisiana	1,263	540	42.8	638	50.5	10	0.8	16	1.3
Maine	333	306	91.9	3	0.9	3	0.9	0	0.0
Maryland	1,331	482	36.2	581	43.7	8	0.6	74	5.6
Massachusetts	1,302	796	61.1	163	12.5	11	0.8	91	7.0
Michigan	2,210	1,177	53.3	671	30.4	29	1.3	59	2.7
Minnesota	1,058	597	56.4	156	14.7	84	7.9	67	6.3
Mississippi	753	335	44.5	377	50.1	8	1.1	8	1.1
Missouri	1,079	632	58.6	324	30.0	11	1.0	16	1.5
Montana	378	247	65.3	2	0.5	94	24.9	6	1.6
Nebraska	371	223	60.1	44	11.9	20	5.4	10	2.7
Nevada	859	501	58.3	105	12.2	20	2.3	42	4.9
New Hampshire	288	266	92.4	5	1.7	2	0.7	4	1.4

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Add” box marked, inferences about the demographic distributions of added people should not be made from this table, nor should a net count of added or deleted people be inferred from the tables in this Appendix.

State Tables

APPENDIX A

States	Total		Race							
	Number	White		Black, African American		American Indian, Alaskan Native		Asian		
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
New Jersey	1,992	848	42.6	560	28.1	11	0.6	160	8.0	
New Mexico	1,138	396	34.8	19	1.7	422	37.1	5	0.4	
New York	5,870	2,308	39.3	1,794	30.6	38	0.6	457	7.8	
North Carolina	1,955	907	46.4	728	37.2	29	1.5	31	1.6	
North Dakota	187	110	58.8	1	0.5	61	32.6	0	0.0	
Ohio	2,274	1,474	64.8	559	24.6	12	0.5	51	2.2	
Oklahoma	911	532	58.4	112	12.3	113	12.4	15	1.6	
Oregon	1,236	856	69.3	51	4.1	49	4.0	37	3.0	
Pennsylvania	2,262	1,319	58.3	636	28.1	6	0.3	71	3.1	
Rhode Island	242	152	62.8	24	9.9	2	0.8	5	2.1	
South Carolina	1,017	433	42.6	483	47.5	10	1.0	10	1.0	
South Dakota	264	100	37.9	1	0.4	149	56.4	3	1.1	
Tennessee	1,452	922	63.5	413	28.4	9	0.6	16	1.1	
Texas	6,849	3,685	53.8	1,053	15.4	46	0.7	159	2.3	
Utah	935	665	71.1	14	1.5	62	6.6	9	1.0	
Vermont	210	184	87.6	2	1.0	4	1.9	4	1.9	
Virginia	1,680	801	47.7	552	32.9	4	0.2	100	6.0	
Washington	2,167	1,328	61.3	115	5.3	126	5.8	125	5.8	
West Virginia	325	293	90.2	16	4.9	1	0.3	2	0.6	
Wisconsin	925	540	58.4	192	20.8	42	4.5	33	3.6	
Wyoming	216	179	82.9	2	0.9	15	6.9	0	0.0	

State Tables

APPENDIX A

Table A9. Number and percent of added people by state and RACE (Continued)

States	Total	Race							
	Number	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander		Some Other Race		Two or more		Missing	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	77,050	394	0.5	9,668	12.5	2,520	3.3	2,776	3.6
Alabama	989	1	0.1	22	2.2	18	1.8	24	2.4
Alaska	476	6	1.3	8	1.7	34	7.1	17	3.6
Arizona	2,300	6	0.3	283	12.3	78	3.4	112	4.9
Arkansas	556	2	0.4	24	4.3	9	1.6	8	1.4
California	11,993	123	1.0	3,240	27.0	508	4.2	555	4.6
Colorado	1,276	0	0.0	209	16.4	60	4.7	51	4.0
Connecticut	961	3	0.3	110	11.4	34	3.5	54	5.6
Delaware	160	0	0.0	14	8.8	3	1.9	2	1.3
D.C.	226	0	0.0	34	15.0	4	1.8	13	5.8
Florida	4,196	5	0.1	303	7.2	117	2.8	135	3.2
Georgia	1,789	1	0.1	153	8.6	21	1.2	48	2.7
Hawaii	486	103	21.2	18	3.7	136	28.0	13	2.7
Idaho	474	3	0.6	62	13.1	12	2.5	12	2.5
Illinois	2,892	4	0.1	422	14.6	66	2.3	143	4.9
Indiana	1,038	1	0.1	48	4.6	31	3.0	28	2.7
Iowa	477	3	0.6	28	5.9	5	1.0	4	0.8
Kansas	593	2	0.3	71	12.0	31	5.2	13	2.2
Kentucky	836	2	0.2	16	1.9	7	0.8	17	2.0
Louisiana	1,263	1	0.1	16	1.3	21	1.7	21	1.7
Maine	333	0	0.0	3	0.9	8	2.4	10	3.0
Maryland	1,331	4	0.3	93	7.0	45	3.4	44	3.3
Massachusetts	1,302	1	0.1	138	10.6	42	3.2	60	4.6
Michigan	2,210	0	0.0	144	6.5	79	3.6	51	2.3
Minnesota	1,058	0	0.0	98	9.3	37	3.5	19	1.8
Mississippi	753	0	0.0	8	1.1	7	0.9	10	1.3
Missouri	1,079	5	0.5	44	4.1	33	3.1	14	1.3
Montana	378	0	0.0	12	3.2	7	1.9	10	2.6
Nebraska	371	0	0.0	43	11.6	13	3.5	18	4.9
Nevada	859	19	2.2	114	13.3	26	3.0	32	3.7
New Hampshire	288	0	0.0	7	2.4	0	0.0	4	1.4

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Add” box marked, inferences about the demographic distributions of added people should not be made from this table, nor should a net count of added or deleted people be inferred from the tables in this Appendix.

State Tables

APPENDIX A

States	Total	Race							
		Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander		Some Other Race		Two or more		Missing	
	Number	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
New Jersey	1,992	1	0.1	243	12.2	55	2.8	114	5.7
New Mexico	1,138	0	0.0	243	21.4	28	2.5	25	2.2
New York	5,870	12	0.2	784	13.4	186	3.2	291	5.0
North Carolina	1,955	3	0.2	157	8.0	49	2.5	51	2.6
North Dakota	187	0	0.0	3	1.6	7	3.7	5	2.7
Ohio	2,274	3	0.1	69	3.0	60	2.6	46	2.0
Oklahoma	911	3	0.3	34	3.7	85	9.3	17	1.9
Oregon	1,236	1	0.1	123	10.0	77	6.2	42	3.4
Pennsylvania	2,262	4	0.2	108	4.8	48	2.1	70	3.1
Rhode Island	242	3	1.2	37	15.3	5	2.1	14	5.8
South Carolina	1,017	2	0.2	29	2.9	13	1.3	37	3.6
South Dakota	264	0	0.0	2	0.8	7	2.7	2	0.8
Tennessee	1,452	0	0.0	41	2.8	21	1.4	30	2.1
Texas	6,849	14	0.2	1,443	21.1	142	2.1	307	4.5
Utah	935	21	2.2	98	10.5	38	4.1	28	3.0
Vermont	210	0	0.0	6	2.9	5	2.4	5	2.4
Virginia	1,680	3	0.2	133	7.9	55	3.3	32	1.9
Washington	2,167	28	1.3	261	12.0	111	5.1	73	3.4
West Virginia	325	0	0.0	1	0.3	10	3.1	2	0.6
Wisconsin	925	1	0.1	54	5.8	24	2.6	39	4.2
Wyoming	216	0	0.0	14	6.5	2	0.9	4	1.9

State Tables

APPENDIX A

Table A10. Number and percent of added people by state and AGE

States	Total	Age									
	Number	0 to 14 years		15 to 24 years		25 to 34 years		35 to 44 years		45 to 54 years	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	77,050	20,891	27.1	20,262	26.3	12,356	16.0	7,606	9.9	4,409	5.7
Alabama	989	303	30.6	251	25.4	158	16.0	105	10.6	48	4.9
Alaska	476	106	22.3	145	30.5	77	16.2	46	9.7	32	6.7
Arizona	2,300	644	28.0	641	27.9	390	17.0	208	9.0	120	5.2
Arkansas	556	145	26.1	176	31.7	87	15.6	47	8.5	31	5.6
California	11,993	3,378	28.2	2,862	23.9	2,051	17.1	1,131	9.4	715	6.0
Colorado	1,276	323	25.3	369	28.9	234	18.3	121	9.5	81	6.3
Connecticut	961	230	23.9	216	22.5	144	15.0	111	11.6	69	7.2
Delaware	160	41	25.6	44	27.5	25	15.6	24	15.0	6	3.8
D.C.	226	64	28.3	35	15.5	25	11.1	40	17.7	18	8.0
Florida	4,196	1,091	26.0	1,040	24.8	659	15.7	436	10.4	255	6.1
Georgia	1,789	513	28.7	486	27.2	267	14.9	189	10.6	92	5.1
Hawaii	486	137	28.2	106	21.8	63	13.0	38	7.8	31	6.4
Idaho	474	102	21.5	153	32.3	83	17.5	48	10.1	25	5.3
Illinois	2,892	787	27.2	761	26.3	498	17.2	255	8.8	145	5.0
Indiana	1,038	281	27.1	289	27.8	169	16.3	106	10.2	48	4.6
Iowa	477	117	24.5	162	34.0	67	14.0	30	6.3	30	6.3
Kansas	593	177	29.8	187	31.5	87	14.7	46	7.8	31	5.2
Kentucky	836	227	27.2	250	29.9	126	15.1	86	10.3	53	6.3
Louisiana	1,263	390	30.9	344	27.2	171	13.5	118	9.3	80	6.3
Maine	333	57	17.1	105	31.5	53	15.9	35	10.5	18	5.4
Maryland	1,331	412	31.0	279	21.0	195	14.7	161	12.1	73	5.5
Massachusetts	1,302	292	22.4	289	22.2	230	17.7	167	12.8	95	7.3
Michigan	2,210	626	28.3	634	28.7	352	15.9	185	8.4	115	5.2
Minnesota	1,058	246	23.3	325	30.7	177	16.7	102	9.6	54	5.1
Mississippi	753	239	31.7	227	30.1	90	12.0	73	9.7	43	5.7
Missouri	1,079	302	28.0	342	31.7	155	14.4	106	9.8	43	4.0
Montana	378	75	19.8	132	34.9	53	14.0	42	11.1	24	6.3
Nebraska	371	108	29.1	126	34.0	50	13.5	29	7.8	8	2.2
Nevada	859	252	29.3	189	22.0	139	16.2	97	11.3	50	5.8
New Hampshire	288	55	19.1	101	35.1	46	16.0	28	9.7	24	8.3

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Add” box marked, inferences about the demographic distributions of added people should not be made from this table, nor should a net count of added or deleted people be inferred from the tables in this Appendix.

State Tables

APPENDIX A

States	Total	Age									
	Number	0 to 14 years		15 to 24 years		25 to 34 years		35 to 44 years		45 to 54 years	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
New Jersey	1,992	518	26.0	435	21.8	303	15.2	193	9.7	152	7.6
New Mexico	1,138	327	28.7	324	28.5	168	14.8	106	9.3	65	5.7
New York	5,870	1,511	25.7	1,149	19.6	957	16.3	650	11.1	390	6.6
North Carolina	1,955	524	26.8	491	25.1	343	17.5	190	9.7	120	6.1
North Dakota	187	37	19.8	67	35.8	32	17.1	19	10.2	4	2.1
Ohio	2,274	618	27.2	653	28.7	371	16.3	208	9.1	126	5.5
Oklahoma	911	282	31.0	281	30.8	126	13.8	87	9.6	37	4.1
Oregon	1,236	279	22.6	384	31.1	192	15.5	119	9.6	65	5.3
Pennsylvania	2,262	651	28.8	611	27.0	336	14.9	250	11.1	125	5.5
Rhode Island	242	63	26.0	53	21.9	41	16.9	27	11.2	12	5.0
South Carolina	1,017	296	29.1	269	26.5	159	15.6	106	10.4	61	6.0
South Dakota	264	59	22.3	68	25.8	36	13.6	40	15.2	20	7.6
Tennessee	1,452	416	28.7	419	28.9	223	15.4	166	11.4	62	4.3
Texas	6,849	1,928	28.2	1,886	27.5	1,086	15.9	618	9.0	352	5.1
Utah	935	236	25.2	322	34.4	147	15.7	72	7.7	53	5.7
Vermont	210	47	22.4	66	31.4	32	15.2	21	10.0	15	7.1
Virginia	1,680	474	28.2	434	25.8	302	18.0	178	10.6	91	5.4
Washington	2,167	494	22.8	665	30.7	348	16.1	204	9.4	116	5.4
West Virginia	325	80	24.6	98	30.2	63	19.4	30	9.2	20	6.2
Wisconsin	925	282	30.5	257	27.8	130	14.1	95	10.3	56	6.1
Wyoming	216	49	22.7	64	29.6	40	18.5	17	7.9	10	4.6

State Tables

APPENDIX A

Table A10. Number and percent of added people by state and AGE (Continued)

States	Total	Age									
		55 to 64 years		65 to 74 years		75 to 84 years		85 years and over		Missing	
	Number	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	77,050	2,548	3.3	1,675	2.2	869	1.1	395	0.5	6,039	7.8
Alabama	989	24	2.4	12	1.2	11	1.1	7	0.7	70	7.1
Alaska	476	30	6.3	10	2.1	3	0.6	1	0.2	26	5.5
Arizona	2,300	72	3.1	40	1.7	21	0.9	11	0.5	153	6.7
Arkansas	556	13	2.3	8	1.4	5	0.9	3	0.5	41	7.4
California	11,993	416	3.5	297	2.5	152	1.3	50	0.4	941	7.8
Colorado	1,276	28	2.2	12	0.9	8	0.6	2	0.2	98	7.7
Connecticut	961	56	5.8	31	3.2	12	1.2	8	0.8	84	8.7
Delaware	160	7	4.4	4	2.5	2	1.3	0	0.0	7	4.4
D.C.	226	2	0.9	5	2.2	2	0.9	0	0.0	35	15.5
Florida	4,196	157	3.7	90	2.1	58	1.4	30	0.7	380	9.1
Georgia	1,789	48	2.7	17	1.0	15	0.8	10	0.6	152	8.5
Hawaii	486	25	5.1	17	3.5	8	1.6	3	0.6	58	11.9
Idaho	474	8	1.7	9	1.9	2	0.4	3	0.6	41	8.7
Illinois	2,892	101	3.5	64	2.2	37	1.3	16	0.6	228	7.9
Indiana	1,038	25	2.4	31	3.0	8	0.8	7	0.7	74	7.1
Iowa	477	13	2.7	16	3.4	7	1.5	1	0.2	34	7.1
Kansas	593	14	2.4	7	1.2	4	0.7	2	0.3	38	6.4
Kentucky	836	20	2.4	13	1.6	8	1.0	2	0.2	51	6.1
Louisiana	1,263	41	3.2	20	1.6	7	0.6	10	0.8	82	6.5
Maine	333	9	2.7	22	6.6	6	1.8	3	0.9	25	7.5
Maryland	1,331	54	4.1	25	1.9	12	0.9	8	0.6	112	8.4
Massachusetts	1,302	56	4.3	36	2.8	25	1.9	8	0.6	104	8.0
Michigan	2,210	61	2.8	66	3.0	28	1.3	6	0.3	137	6.2
Minnesota	1,058	29	2.7	9	0.9	11	1.0	2	0.2	103	9.7
Mississippi	753	14	1.9	12	1.6	8	1.1	3	0.4	44	5.8
Missouri	1,079	20	1.9	9	0.8	7	0.6	3	0.3	92	8.5
Montana	378	11	2.9	9	2.4	3	0.8	2	0.5	27	7.1
Nebraska	371	9	2.4	2	0.5	1	0.3	2	0.5	36	9.7
Nevada	859	31	3.6	18	2.1	8	0.9	6	0.7	69	8.0
New Hampshire	288	9	3.1	9	3.1	3	1.0	0	0.0	13	4.5

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Add” box marked, inferences about the demographic distributions of added people should not be made from this table, nor should a net count of added or deleted people be inferred from the tables in this Appendix.

State Tables

APPENDIX A

States	Total		Age								
	Number	55 to 64 years		65 to 74 years		75 to 84 years		85 years and over		Missing	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
New Jersey	1,992	90	4.5	53	2.7	37	1.9	14	0.7	197	9.9
New Mexico	1,138	41	3.6	16	1.4	12	1.1	11	1.0	68	6.0
New York	5,870	275	4.7	216	3.7	105	1.8	44	0.8	573	9.8
North Carolina	1,955	48	2.5	29	1.5	19	1.0	10	0.5	181	9.3
North Dakota	187	5	2.7	3	1.6	5	2.7	1	0.5	14	7.5
Ohio	2,274	67	2.9	57	2.5	25	1.1	7	0.3	142	6.2
Oklahoma	911	24	2.6	11	1.2	4	0.4	6	0.7	53	5.8
Oregon	1,236	47	3.8	26	2.1	12	1.0	9	0.7	103	8.3
Pennsylvania	2,262	73	3.2	43	1.9	21	0.9	12	0.5	140	6.2
Rhode Island	242	11	4.5	7	2.9	1	0.4	0	0.0	27	11.2
South Carolina	1,017	35	3.4	18	1.8	5	0.5	4	0.4	64	6.3
South Dakota	264	11	4.2	8	3.0	1	0.4	0	0.0	21	8.0
Tennessee	1,452	43	3.0	19	1.3	10	0.7	5	0.3	89	6.1
Texas	6,849	208	3.0	131	1.9	60	0.9	33	0.5	547	8.0
Utah	935	20	2.1	17	1.8	2	0.2	6	0.6	60	6.4
Vermont	210	11	5.2	5	2.4	5	2.4	1	0.5	7	3.3
Virginia	1,680	52	3.1	20	1.2	19	1.1	5	0.3	105	6.3
Washington	2,167	55	2.5	40	1.8	28	1.3	8	0.4	209	9.6
West Virginia	325	4	1.2	13	4.0	3	0.9	1	0.3	13	4.0
Wisconsin	925	17	1.8	15	1.6	12	1.3	6	0.6	55	5.9
Wyoming	216	8	3.7	8	3.7	1	0.5	3	1.4	16	7.4

State Tables

APPENDIX A

Table A11. Number and percent of added people by state and SEX

States	Total		Sex				
	Number	Male		Female		Missing	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	77,050	42,928	55.7	33,023	42.9	1,099	1.4
Alabama	989	536	54.2	435	44.0	18	1.8
Alaska	476	294	61.8	178	37.4	4	0.8
Arizona	2,300	1,312	57.0	961	41.8	27	1.2
Arkansas	556	313	56.3	230	41.4	13	2.3
California	11,993	6,601	55.0	5,243	43.7	149	1.2
Colorado	1,276	762	59.7	499	39.1	15	1.2
Connecticut	961	511	53.2	427	44.4	23	2.4
Delaware	160	83	51.9	73	45.6	4	2.5
D.C.	226	131	58.0	85	37.6	10	4.4
Florida	4,196	2,321	55.3	1,793	42.7	82	2.0
Georgia	1,789	1,018	56.9	751	42.0	20	1.1
Hawaii	486	251	51.6	232	47.7	3	0.6
Idaho	474	285	60.1	183	38.6	6	1.3
Illinois	2,892	1,605	55.5	1,250	43.2	37	1.3
Indiana	1,038	589	56.7	430	41.4	19	1.8
Iowa	477	292	61.2	178	37.3	7	1.5
Kansas	593	351	59.2	231	39.0	11	1.9
Kentucky	836	457	54.7	364	43.5	15	1.8
Louisiana	1,263	702	55.6	542	42.9	19	1.5
Maine	333	179	53.8	147	44.1	7	2.1
Maryland	1,331	702	52.7	614	46.1	15	1.1
Massachusetts	1,302	691	53.1	593	45.5	18	1.4
Michigan	2,210	1,200	54.3	984	44.5	26	1.2
Minnesota	1,058	628	59.4	421	39.8	9	0.9
Mississippi	753	439	58.3	303	40.2	11	1.5
Missouri	1,079	608	56.3	458	42.4	13	1.2
Montana	378	220	58.2	155	41.0	3	0.8
Nebraska	371	208	56.1	162	43.7	1	0.3
Nevada	859	467	54.4	385	44.8	7	0.8
New Hampshire	288	164	56.9	120	41.7	4	1.4

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Add” box marked, inferences about the demographic distributions of added people should not be made from this table, nor should a net count of added or deleted people be inferred from the tables in this Appendix.

State Tables

APPENDIX A

States	Total		Sex					
	Number	Male		Female		Missing		
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
New Jersey	1,992	1,052	52.8	901	45.2	39	2.0	
New Mexico	1,138	667	58.6	445	39.1	26	2.3	
New York	5,870	3,124	53.2	2,636	44.9	110	1.9	
North Carolina	1,955	1,108	56.7	820	41.9	27	1.4	
North Dakota	187	113	60.4	68	36.4	6	3.2	
Ohio	2,274	1,294	56.9	940	41.3	40	1.8	
Oklahoma	911	523	57.4	384	42.2	4	0.4	
Oregon	1,236	701	56.7	525	42.5	10	0.8	
Pennsylvania	2,262	1,287	56.9	941	41.6	34	1.5	
Rhode Island	242	150	62.0	90	37.2	2	0.8	
South Carolina	1,017	551	54.2	437	43.0	29	2.9	
South Dakota	264	135	51.1	125	47.3	4	1.5	
Tennessee	1,452	840	57.9	599	41.3	13	0.9	
Texas	6,849	3,773	55.1	2,971	43.4	105	1.5	
Utah	935	549	58.7	383	41.0	3	0.3	
Vermont	210	108	51.4	100	47.6	2	1.0	
Virginia	1,680	937	55.8	728	43.3	15	0.9	
Washington	2,167	1,266	58.4	879	40.6	22	1.0	
West Virginia	325	194	59.7	129	39.7	2	0.6	
Wisconsin	925	507	54.8	408	44.1	10	1.1	
Wyoming	216	129	59.7	87	40.3	0	0.0	

State Tables

APPENDIX A

Table A12. Number and percent of added people by state and HISPANIC ORIGIN

States	Total	Hispanic origin					
	Number	Non-Hispanic		Hispanic		Missing	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	77,050	56,178	72.9	19,076	24.8	1,796	2.3
Alabama	989	915	92.5	46	4.7	28	2.8
Alaska	476	432	90.8	30	6.3	14	2.9
Arizona	2,300	1,532	66.6	721	31.3	47	2.0
Arkansas	556	497	89.4	45	8.1	14	2.5
California	11,993	6,160	51.4	5,586	46.6	247	2.1
Colorado	1,276	814	63.8	431	33.8	31	2.4
Connecticut	961	671	69.8	255	26.5	35	3.6
Delaware	160	131	81.9	26	16.3	3	1.9
D.C.	226	167	73.9	51	22.6	8	3.5
Florida	4,196	3,065	73.0	1,014	24.2	117	2.8
Georgia	1,789	1,473	82.3	274	15.3	42	2.3
Hawaii	486	411	84.6	63	13.0	12	2.5
Idaho	474	361	76.2	105	22.2	8	1.7
Illinois	2,892	1,964	67.9	840	29.0	88	3.0
Indiana	1,038	915	88.2	96	9.2	27	2.6
Iowa	477	425	89.1	50	10.5	2	0.4
Kansas	593	453	76.4	126	21.2	14	2.4
Kentucky	836	781	93.4	37	4.4	18	2.2
Louisiana	1,263	1,197	94.8	37	2.9	29	2.3
Maine	333	319	95.8	3	0.9	11	3.3
Maryland	1,331	1,135	85.3	156	11.7	40	3.0
Massachusetts	1,302	1,051	80.7	211	16.2	40	3.1
Michigan	2,210	1,956	88.5	207	9.4	47	2.1
Minnesota	1,058	911	86.1	126	11.9	21	2.0
Mississippi	753	739	98.1	5	0.7	9	1.2
Missouri	1,079	1,009	93.5	53	4.9	17	1.6
Montana	378	357	94.4	15	4.0	6	1.6
Nebraska	371	269	72.5	89	24.0	13	3.5
Nevada	859	534	62.2	303	35.3	22	2.6
New Hampshire	288	272	94.4	10	3.5	6	2.1

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Add” box marked, inferences about the demographic distributions of added people should not be made from this table, nor should a net count of added or deleted people be inferred from the tables in this Appendix.

State Tables

APPENDIX A

States	Total	Hispanic origin					
	Number	Non-Hispanic		Hispanic		Missing	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
New Jersey	1,992	1,410	70.8	529	26.6	53	2.7
New Mexico	1,138	647	56.9	469	41.2	22	1.9
New York	5,870	4,231	72.1	1,471	25.1	168	2.9
North Carolina	1,955	1,600	81.8	297	15.2	58	3.0
North Dakota	187	169	90.4	13	7.0	5	2.7
Ohio	2,274	2,130	93.7	109	4.8	35	1.5
Oklahoma	911	805	88.4	90	9.9	16	1.8
Oregon	1,236	981	79.4	238	19.3	17	1.4
Pennsylvania	2,262	2,016	89.1	186	8.2	60	2.7
Rhode Island	242	162	66.9	76	31.4	4	1.7
South Carolina	1,017	912	89.7	78	7.7	27	2.7
South Dakota	264	250	94.7	11	4.2	3	1.1
Tennessee	1,452	1,337	92.1	84	5.8	31	2.1
Texas	6,849	3,251	47.5	3,469	50.7	129	1.9
Utah	935	707	75.6	212	22.7	16	1.7
Vermont	210	203	96.7	4	1.9	3	1.4
Virginia	1,680	1,435	85.4	219	13.0	26	1.5
Washington	2,167	1,720	79.4	374	17.3	73	3.4
West Virginia	325	318	97.8	2	0.6	5	1.5
Wisconsin	925	797	86.2	101	10.9	27	2.9
Wyoming	216	181	83.8	33	15.3	2	0.9

State Tables

APPENDIX A

Table A13. Number and percent of added people by state and TENURE

States	Total	Tenure					
	Number	Owner		Renter		Missing	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	77,050	36,249	47.0	38,085	49.4	2,716	3.5
Alabama	989	519	52.5	420	42.5	50	5.1
Alaska	476	283	59.5	188	39.5	5	1.1
Arizona	2,300	1,296	56.3	925	40.2	79	3.4
Arkansas	556	282	50.7	257	46.2	17	3.1
California	11,993	4,667	38.9	6,995	58.3	331	2.8
Colorado	1,276	589	46.2	643	50.4	44	3.4
Connecticut	961	388	40.4	544	56.6	29	3.0
Delaware	160	74	46.3	73	45.6	13	8.1
D.C.	226	68	30.1	146	64.6	12	5.3
Florida	4,196	2,081	49.6	1,955	46.6	160	3.8
Georgia	1,789	803	44.9	887	49.6	99	5.5
Hawaii	486	215	44.2	260	53.5	11	2.3
Idaho	474	260	54.9	202	42.6	12	2.5
Illinois	2,892	1,325	45.8	1,472	50.9	95	3.3
Indiana	1,038	578	55.7	421	40.6	39	3.8
Iowa	477	262	54.9	198	41.5	17	3.6
Kansas	593	301	50.8	275	46.4	17	2.9
Kentucky	836	431	51.6	370	44.3	35	4.2
Louisiana	1,263	675	53.4	536	42.4	52	4.1
Maine	333	217	65.2	96	28.8	20	6.0
Maryland	1,331	696	52.3	580	43.6	55	4.1
Massachusetts	1,302	599	46.0	656	50.4	47	3.6
Michigan	2,210	1,146	51.9	984	44.5	80	3.6
Minnesota	1,058	554	52.4	478	45.2	26	2.5
Mississippi	753	430	57.1	291	38.6	32	4.3
Missouri	1,079	527	48.8	524	48.6	28	2.6
Montana	378	215	56.9	147	38.9	16	4.2
Nebraska	371	159	42.9	203	54.7	9	2.4
Nevada	859	337	39.2	495	57.6	27	3.1
New Hampshire	288	170	59.0	108	37.5	10	3.5

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Add” box marked, inferences about the demographic distributions of added people should not be made from this table, nor should a net count of added or deleted people be inferred from the tables in this Appendix.

State Tables

APPENDIX A

States	Total	Tenure					
	Number	Owner		Renter		Missing	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
New Jersey	1,992	867	43.5	1,060	53.2	65	3.3
New Mexico	1,138	689	60.5	408	35.9	41	3.6
New York	5,870	2,176	37.1	3,420	58.3	274	4.7
North Carolina	1,955	838	42.9	1,037	53.0	80	4.1
North Dakota	187	96	51.3	87	46.5	4	2.1
Ohio	2,274	1,117	49.1	1,082	47.6	75	3.3
Oklahoma	911	439	48.2	444	48.7	28	3.1
Oregon	1,236	547	44.3	640	51.8	49	4.0
Pennsylvania	2,262	1,158	51.2	1,015	44.9	89	3.9
Rhode Island	242	104	43.0	128	52.9	10	4.1
South Carolina	1,017	592	58.2	376	37.0	49	4.8
South Dakota	264	102	38.6	155	58.7	7	2.7
Tennessee	1,452	709	48.8	686	47.2	57	3.9
Texas	6,849	3,413	49.8	3,198	46.7	238	3.5
Utah	935	559	59.8	359	38.4	17	1.8
Vermont	210	118	56.2	83	39.5	9	4.3
Virginia	1,680	814	48.5	835	49.7	31	1.8
Washington	2,167	1,046	48.3	1,059	48.9	62	2.9
West Virginia	325	187	57.5	126	38.8	12	3.7
Wisconsin	925	397	42.9	484	52.3	44	4.8
Wyoming	216	134	62.0	74	34.3	8	3.7

State Tables

APPENDIX A

Table A14. Number and percent of deleted people by state and RACE

States	Total	Race							
	Number	White		Black, African American		American Indian, Alaskan Native		Asian	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	83,160	51,199	61.6	14,827	17.8	1,845	2.2	4,030	4.8
Alabama	1,029	539	52.4	401	39.0	3	0.3	8	0.8
Alaska	506	234	46.2	25	4.9	150	29.6	16	3.2
Arizona	1,482	625	42.2	66	4.5	514	34.7	21	1.4
Arkansas	522	342	65.5	133	25.5	4	0.8	3	0.6
California	7,962	3,814	47.9	877	11.0	76	1.0	1,089	13.7
Colorado	1,060	670	63.2	111	10.5	24	2.3	27	2.5
Connecticut	1,538	1,066	69.3	208	13.5	4	0.3	50	3.3
Delaware	225	120	53.3	76	33.8	1	0.4	6	2.7
D.C.	241	41	17.0	175	72.6	0	0.0	7	2.9
Florida	3,760	2,241	59.6	918	24.4	17	0.5	108	2.9
Georgia	1,849	948	51.3	626	33.9	5	0.3	69	3.7
Hawaii	491	151	30.8	24	4.9	2	0.4	117	23.8
Idaho	335	270	80.6	5	1.5	11	3.3	1	0.3
Illinois	3,210	1,889	58.8	637	19.8	4	0.1	256	8.0
Indiana	1,454	1,107	76.1	164	11.3	0	0.0	20	1.4
Iowa	514	411	80.0	23	4.5	9	1.8	15	2.9
Kansas	694	518	74.6	69	9.9	7	1.0	19	2.7
Kentucky	1,083	838	77.4	150	13.9	1	0.1	14	1.3
Louisiana	1,454	659	45.3	631	43.4	8	0.6	31	2.1
Maine	771	716	92.9	5	0.6	13	1.7	2	0.3
Maryland	1,817	864	47.6	629	34.6	1	0.1	132	7.3
Massachusetts	2,909	2,227	76.6	213	7.3	3	0.1	103	3.5
Michigan	2,150	1,466	68.2	322	15.0	23	1.1	80	3.7
Minnesota	1,027	790	76.9	61	5.9	26	2.5	49	4.8
Mississippi	1,013	445	43.9	492	48.6	8	0.8	8	0.8
Missouri	1,172	785	67.0	248	21.2	6	0.5	25	2.1
Montana	399	261	65.4	5	1.3	87	21.8	3	0.8
Nebraska	514	426	82.9	27	5.3	11	2.1	3	0.6
Nevada	388	235	60.6	56	14.4	19	4.9	21	5.4
New Hampshire	692	625	90.3	5	0.7	3	0.4	11	1.6

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Cancel” box marked, inferences about the demographic distributions of deleted people should not be made from this table, nor should a net count of added or deleted people be inferred from the tables in this Appendix.

State Tables

APPENDIX A

States	Total	Race							
		White		Black, African American		American Indian, Alaskan Native		Asian	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
New Jersey	3,448	2,150	62.4	560	16.2	5	0.1	293	8.5
New Mexico	767	227	29.6	18	2.3	355	46.3	10	1.3
New York	7,463	4,359	58.4	1,540	20.6	35	0.5	512	6.9
North Carolina	3,238	1,973	60.9	892	27.5	24	0.7	48	1.5
North Dakota	290	231	79.7	4	1.4	39	13.4	2	0.7
Ohio	2,726	1,917	70.3	486	17.8	5	0.2	69	2.5
Oklahoma	945	578	61.2	124	13.1	57	6.0	34	3.6
Oregon	764	572	74.9	14	1.8	19	2.5	35	4.6
Pennsylvania	4,713	3,563	75.6	613	13.0	3	0.1	128	2.7
Rhode Island	358	250	69.8	36	10.1	1	0.3	10	2.8
South Carolina	1,422	720	50.6	575	40.4	5	0.4	13	0.9
South Dakota	271	184	67.9	3	1.1	63	23.2	4	1.5
Tennessee	1,437	895	62.3	401	27.9	8	0.6	21	1.5
Texas	5,178	2,994	57.8	1,037	20.0	30	0.6	212	4.1
Utah	542	396	73.1	12	2.2	39	7.2	9	1.7
Vermont	451	405	89.8	3	0.7	1	0.2	5	1.1
Virginia	3,093	1,695	54.8	880	28.5	8	0.3	144	4.7
Washington	1,813	1,207	66.6	106	5.8	56	3.1	128	7.1
West Virginia	457	395	86.4	32	7.0	2	0.4	2	0.4
Wisconsin	1,195	887	74.2	107	9.0	37	3.1	34	2.8
Wyoming	328	278	84.8	2	0.6	13	4.0	3	0.9

State Tables

APPENDIX A

Table A14. Number and percent of deleted people by state and RACE (Continued)

States	Total	Race							
		Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander		Some Other Race		Two or More		Missing	
	Number	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	83,160	206	0.2	3,973	4.8	1,737	2.1	5,343	6.4
Alabama	1,029	3	0.3	8	0.8	14	1.4	53	5.2
Alaska	506	0	0.0	6	1.2	39	7.7	36	7.1
Arizona	1,482	2	0.1	92	6.2	36	2.4	126	8.5
Arkansas	522	0	0.0	2	0.4	11	2.1	27	5.2
California	7,962	59	0.7	1,091	13.7	304	3.8	652	8.2
Colorado	1,060	3	0.3	99	9.3	30	2.8	96	9.1
Connecticut	1,538	2	0.1	50	3.3	33	2.1	125	8.1
Delaware	225	0	0.0	4	1.8	3	1.3	15	6.7
D.C.	241	0	0.0	7	2.9	2	0.8	9	3.7
Florida	3,760	4	0.1	150	4.0	62	1.6	260	6.9
Georgia	1,849	2	0.1	47	2.5	29	1.6	123	6.7
Hawaii	491	46	9.4	15	3.1	111	22.6	25	5.1
Idaho	335	0	0.0	19	5.7	8	2.4	21	6.3
Illinois	3,210	5	0.2	153	4.8	54	1.7	212	6.6
Indiana	1,454	1	0.1	40	2.8	22	1.5	100	6.9
Iowa	514	1	0.2	14	2.7	8	1.6	33	6.4
Kansas	694	1	0.1	15	2.2	12	1.7	53	7.6
Kentucky	1,083	3	0.3	8	0.7	7	0.6	62	5.7
Louisiana	1,454	2	0.1	14	1.0	20	1.4	89	6.1
Maine	771	0	0.0	1	0.1	10	1.3	24	3.1
Maryland	1,817	2	0.1	59	3.2	35	1.9	95	5.2
Massachusetts	2,909	2	0.1	101	3.5	44	1.5	216	7.4
Michigan	2,150	3	0.1	55	2.6	50	2.3	151	7.0
Minnesota	1,027	3	0.3	17	1.7	18	1.8	63	6.1
Mississippi	1,013	0	0.0	6	0.6	8	0.8	46	4.5
Missouri	1,172	2	0.2	16	1.4	22	1.9	68	5.8
Montana	399	1	0.3	3	0.8	7	1.8	32	8.0
Nebraska	514	0	0.0	15	2.9	7	1.4	25	4.9
Nevada	388	1	0.3	20	5.2	8	2.1	28	7.2
New Hampshire	692	2	0.3	2	0.3	6	0.9	38	5.5

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Cancel” box marked, inferences about the demographic distributions of deleted people should not be made from this table, nor should a net count of added or deleted people be inferred from the tables in this Appendix.

State Tables

APPENDIX A

States	Total Number	Race							
		Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander		Some Other Race		Two or More		Missing	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
New Jersey	3,448	2	0.1	197	5.7	52	1.5	189	5.5
New Mexico	767	0	0.0	89	11.6	24	3.1	44	5.7
New York	7,463	9	0.1	464	6.2	132	1.8	412	5.5
North Carolina	3,238	4	0.1	122	3.8	46	1.4	129	4.0
North Dakota	290	0	0.0	2	0.7	1	0.3	11	3.8
Ohio	2,726	8	0.3	42	1.5	41	1.5	158	5.8
Oklahoma	945	1	0.1	23	2.4	53	5.6	75	7.9
Oregon	764	5	0.7	48	6.3	24	3.1	47	6.2
Pennsylvania	4,713	0	0.0	93	2.0	63	1.3	250	5.3
Rhode Island	358	1	0.3	29	8.1	5	1.4	26	7.3
South Carolina	1,422	0	0.0	13	0.9	13	0.9	83	5.8
South Dakota	271	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	1.8	12	4.4
Tennessee	1,437	0	0.0	19	1.3	21	1.5	72	5.0
Texas	5,178	5	0.1	472	9.1	66	1.3	362	7.0
Utah	542	4	0.7	27	5.0	9	1.7	46	8.5
Vermont	451	0	0.0	3	0.7	6	1.3	28	6.2
Virginia	3093	4	0.1	106	3.4	63	2.0	193	6.2
Washington	1813	12	0.7	74	4.1	67	3.7	163	9.0
West Virginia	457	0	0.0	1	0.2	6	1.3	19	4.2
Wisconsin	1195	1	0.1	16	1.3	17	1.4	96	8.0
Wyoming	328	0	0.0	4	1.2	3	0.9	25	7.6

State Tables

APPENDIX A

Table A15. Number and percent of deleted people by state and AGE

States	Total	Age									
	Number	0 to 14 years		15 to 24 years		25 to 34 years		35 to 44 years		45 to 54 years	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	83,160	3,562	4.3	49,727	59.8	8,488	10.2	4,368	5.3	1,986	2.4
Alabama	1,029	36	3.5	624	60.6	96	9.3	71	6.9	21	2.0
Alaska	506	56	11.1	272	53.8	54	10.7	33	6.5	20	4.0
Arizona	1,482	92	6.2	721	48.7	204	13.8	103	7.0	47	3.2
Arkansas	522	36	6.9	312	59.8	42	8.0	36	6.9	15	2.9
California	7,962	493	6.2	3,829	48.1	1,214	15.2	629	7.9	259	3.3
Colorado	1,060	54	5.1	526	49.6	177	16.7	85	8.0	30	2.8
Connecticut	1,538	36	2.3	1,121	72.9	81	5.3	31	2.0	17	1.1
Delaware	225	5	2.2	151	67.1	15	6.7	12	5.3	6	2.7
D.C.	241	8	3.3	129	53.5	29	12.0	16	6.6	8	3.3
Florida	3,760	176	4.7	1,859	49.4	470	12.5	275	7.3	114	3.0
Georgia	1,849	71	3.8	995	53.8	235	12.7	107	5.8	50	2.7
Hawaii	491	24	4.9	210	42.8	96	19.6	51	10.4	16	3.3
Idaho	335	18	5.4	181	54.0	33	9.9	21	6.3	8	2.4
Illinois	3,210	108	3.4	2,169	67.6	245	7.6	102	3.2	48	1.5
Indiana	1,454	48	3.3	925	63.6	93	6.4	62	4.3	27	1.9
Iowa	514	20	3.9	306	59.5	41	8.0	24	4.7	16	3.1
Kansas	694	31	4.5	401	57.8	64	9.2	37	5.3	16	2.3
Kentucky	1,083	43	4.0	651	60.1	94	8.7	51	4.7	31	2.9
Louisiana	1,454	44	3.0	878	60.4	191	13.1	90	6.2	33	2.3
Maine	771	32	4.2	565	73.3	39	5.1	35	4.5	7	0.9
Maryland	1,817	68	3.7	1,136	62.5	182	10.0	98	5.4	46	2.5
Massachusetts	2,909	83	2.9	2,058	70.7	161	5.5	72	2.5	39	1.3
Michigan	2,150	114	5.3	1,300	60.5	160	7.4	66	3.1	50	2.3
Minnesota	1,027	64	6.2	635	61.8	57	5.6	40	3.9	21	2.0
Mississippi	1,013	42	4.1	663	65.4	74	7.3	50	4.9	21	2.1
Missouri	1,172	52	4.4	690	58.9	98	8.4	49	4.2	34	2.9
Montana	399	29	7.3	231	57.9	35	8.8	28	7.0	10	2.5
Nebraska	514	25	4.9	327	63.6	37	7.2	13	2.5	8	1.6
Nevada	388	18	4.6	177	45.6	50	12.9	43	11.1	16	4.1
New Hampshire	692	21	3.0	531	76.7	26	3.8	14	2.0	13	1.9

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Cancel” box marked, inferences about the demographic distributions of deleted people should not be made from this table, nor should a net count of added or deleted people be inferred from the tables in this Appendix.

State Tables

APPENDIX A

States	Total	Age									
	Number	0 to 14 years		15 to 24 years		25 to 34 years		35 to 44 years		45 to 54 years	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
New Jersey	3,448	82	2.4	2,570	74.5	225	6.5	70	2.0	56	1.6
New Mexico	767	52	6.8	425	55.4	98	12.8	50	6.5	22	2.9
New York	7,463	247	3.3	5,001	67.0	597	8.0	256	3.4	143	1.9
North Carolina	3,238	96	3.0	1,778	54.9	537	16.6	231	7.1	70	2.2
North Dakota	290	9	3.1	195	67.2	25	8.6	14	4.8	3	1.0
Ohio	2,726	134	4.9	1,672	61.3	209	7.7	128	4.7	65	2.4
Oklahoma	945	55	5.8	520	55.0	105	11.1	52	5.5	33	3.5
Oregon	764	54	7.1	360	47.1	85	11.1	61	8.0	31	4.1
Pennsylvania	4,713	175	3.7	3,322	70.5	252	5.3	125	2.7	85	1.8
Rhode Island	358	10	2.8	226	63.1	21	5.9	9	2.5	4	1.1
South Carolina	1,422	44	3.1	837	58.9	172	12.1	70	4.9	26	1.8
South Dakota	271	16	5.9	182	67.2	18	6.6	8	3.0	2	0.7
Tennessee	1,437	52	3.6	831	57.8	141	9.8	89	6.2	35	2.4
Texas	5,178	241	4.7	2,973	57.4	598	11.5	308	5.9	139	2.7
Utah	542	48	8.9	260	48.0	69	12.7	29	5.4	15	2.8
Vermont	451	19	4.2	320	71.0	23	5.1	8	1.8	15	3.3
Virginia	3,093	123	4.0	1,619	52.3	521	16.8	265	8.6	82	2.7
Washington	1,813	88	4.9	812	44.8	268	14.8	184	10.1	54	3.0
West Virginia	457	9	2.0	297	65.0	30	6.6	16	3.5	17	3.7
Wisconsin	1,195	48	4.0	742	62.1	75	6.3	45	3.8	32	2.7
Wyoming	328	13	4.0	212	64.6	26	7.9	6	1.8	10	3.0

State Tables

APPENDIX A

Table A15. Number and percent of deleted people by state and AGE (Continued)

States	Total	Age									
	Number	55 to 64 years		65 to 74 years		75 to 84 years		85 years and over		Missing	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	83,160	1,075	1.3	1,211	1.5	1,900	2.3	1,598	1.9	9,245	11.1
Alabama	1,029	12	1.2	14	1.4	16	1.6	16	1.6	123	12.0
Alaska	506	4	0.8	3	0.6	3	0.6	1	0.2	60	11.9
Arizona	1,482	18	1.2	30	2.0	30	2.0	30	2.0	207	14.0
Arkansas	522	8	1.5	3	0.6	12	2.3	11	2.1	47	9.0
California	7,962	116	1.5	123	1.5	171	2.1	147	1.8	981	12.3
Colorado	1,060	11	1.0	8	0.8	13	1.2	22	2.1	134	12.6
Connecticut	1,538	18	1.2	22	1.4	27	1.8	26	1.7	159	10.3
Delaware	225	6	2.7	2	0.9	5	2.2	4	1.8	19	8.4
D.C.	241	6	2.5	3	1.2	9	3.7	6	2.5	27	11.2
Florida	3,760	49	1.3	65	1.7	108	2.9	87	2.3	557	14.8
Georgia	1,849	21	1.1	29	1.6	50	2.7	39	2.1	252	13.6
Hawaii	491	9	1.8	5	1.0	5	1.0	6	1.2	69	14.1
Idaho	335	5	1.5	3	0.9	17	5.1	8	2.4	41	12.2
Illinois	3,210	30	0.9	34	1.1	71	2.2	50	1.6	353	11.0
Indiana	1,454	25	1.7	18	1.2	41	2.8	41	2.8	174	12.0
Iowa	514	1	0.2	5	1.0	12	2.3	23	4.5	66	12.8
Kansas	694	6	0.9	14	2.0	17	2.5	18	2.6	90	13.0
Kentucky	1,083	11	1.0	22	2.0	27	2.5	23	2.1	130	12.0
Louisiana	1,454	17	1.2	15	1.0	21	1.4	21	1.4	144	9.9
Maine	771	8	1.0	9	1.2	14	1.8	12	1.6	50	6.5
Maryland	1,817	24	1.3	25	1.4	34	1.9	29	1.6	175	9.6
Massachusetts	2,909	31	1.1	46	1.6	76	2.6	61	2.1	282	9.7
Michigan	2,150	37	1.7	45	2.1	75	3.5	58	2.7	245	11.4
Minnesota	1,027	16	1.6	15	1.5	37	3.6	22	2.1	120	11.7
Mississippi	1,013	14	1.4	19	1.9	14	1.4	15	1.5	101	10.0
Missouri	1,172	20	1.7	20	1.7	39	3.3	37	3.2	133	11.3
Montana	399	1	0.3	8	2.0	2	0.5	7	1.8	48	12.0
Nebraska	514	5	1.0	8	1.6	18	3.5	15	2.9	58	11.3
Nevada	388	5	1.3	6	1.5	7	1.8	4	1.0	62	16.0
New Hampshire	692	10	1.4	13	1.9	12	1.7	6	0.9	46	6.6

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Cancel” box marked, inferences about the demographic distributions of deleted people should not be made from this table, nor should a net count of added or deleted people be inferred from the tables in this Appendix.

State Tables

APPENDIX A

States	Total	Age									
	Number	55 to 64 years		65 to 74 years		75 to 84 years		85 years and over		Missing	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
New Jersey	3,448	34	1.0	34	1.0	49	1.4	35	1.0	293	8.5
New Mexico	767	16	2.1	8	1.0	15	2.0	14	1.8	67	8.7
New York	7,463	112	1.5	120	1.6	165	2.2	126	1.7	696	9.3
North Carolina	3,238	31	1.0	35	1.1	54	1.7	58	1.8	348	10.7
North Dakota	290	2	0.7	3	1.0	9	3.1	9	3.1	21	7.2
Ohio	2,726	42	1.5	54	2.0	88	3.2	58	2.1	276	10.1
Oklahoma	945	16	1.7	10	1.1	12	1.3	20	2.1	122	12.9
Oregon	764	16	2.1	13	1.7	21	2.7	25	3.3	98	12.8
Pennsylvania	4,713	49	1.0	56	1.2	137	2.9	92	2.0	420	8.9
Rhode Island	358	5	1.4	8	2.2	10	2.8	8	2.2	57	15.9
South Carolina	1,422	17	1.2	22	1.5	44	3.1	35	2.5	155	10.9
South Dakota	271	1	0.4	2	0.7	7	2.6	7	2.6	28	10.3
Tennessee	1,437	17	1.2	24	1.7	34	2.4	47	3.3	167	11.6
Texas	5,178	70	1.4	86	1.7	107	2.1	82	1.6	574	11.1
Utah	542	12	2.2	9	1.7	12	2.2	14	2.6	74	13.7
Vermont	451	3	0.7	6	1.3	12	2.7	8	1.8	37	8.2
Virginia	3,093	29	0.9	27	0.9	52	1.7	32	1.0	343	11.1
Washington	1,813	27	1.5	39	2.2	43	2.4	35	1.9	263	14.5
West Virginia	457	9	2.0	4	0.9	17	3.7	8	1.8	50	10.9
Wisconsin	1,195	20	1.7	16	1.3	23	1.9	35	2.9	159	13.3
Wyoming	328	3	0.9	3	0.9	6	1.8	5	1.5	44	13.4

State Tables

APPENDIX A

Table A16. Number and percent of deleted people by state and SEX

States	Total	Sex					
	Number	Male		Female		Missing	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	83,160	45,896	55.2	35,038	42.1	2,226	2.7
Alabama	1,029	543	52.8	457	44.4	29	2.8
Alaska	506	299	59.1	192	37.9	15	3.0
Arizona	1,482	841	56.7	606	40.9	35	2.4
Arkansas	522	285	54.6	223	42.7	14	2.7
California	7,962	4,819	60.5	2,923	36.7	220	2.8
Colorado	1,060	633	59.7	395	37.3	32	3.0
Connecticut	1,538	778	50.6	712	46.3	48	3.1
Delaware	225	124	55.1	95	42.2	6	2.7
D.C.	241	136	56.4	101	41.9	4	1.7
Florida	3,760	2,241	59.6	1,421	37.8	98	2.6
Georgia	1,849	1,019	55.1	778	42.1	52	2.8
Hawaii	491	315	64.2	168	34.2	8	1.6
Idaho	335	195	58.2	134	40.0	6	1.8
Illinois	3,210	1,650	51.4	1,467	45.7	93	2.9
Indiana	1,454	762	52.4	640	44.0	52	3.6
Iowa	514	268	52.1	228	44.4	18	3.5
Kansas	694	367	52.9	302	43.5	25	3.6
Kentucky	1,083	594	54.8	457	42.2	32	3.0
Louisiana	1,454	837	57.6	574	39.5	43	3.0
Maine	771	400	51.9	358	46.4	13	1.7
Maryland	1,817	986	54.3	792	43.6	39	2.1
Massachusetts	2,909	1,392	47.9	1,426	49.0	91	3.1
Michigan	2,150	1,102	51.3	972	45.2	76	3.5
Minnesota	1,027	545	53.1	443	43.1	39	3.8
Mississippi	1,013	538	53.1	446	44.0	29	2.9
Missouri	1,172	620	52.9	518	44.2	34	2.9
Montana	399	215	53.9	170	42.6	14	3.5
Nebraska	514	270	52.5	231	44.9	13	2.5
Nevada	388	240	61.9	138	35.6	10	2.6
New Hampshire	692	359	51.9	321	46.4	12	1.7

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Cancel” box marked, inferences about the demographic distributions of deleted people should not be made from this table, nor should a net count of added or deleted people be inferred from the tables in this Appendix.

State Tables

APPENDIX A

States	Total	Sex					
	Number	Male		Female		Missing	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
New Jersey	3,448	1,735	50.3	1,638	47.5	75	2.2
New Mexico	767	440	57.4	310	40.4	17	2.2
New York	7,463	3,900	52.3	3,380	45.3	183	2.5
North Carolina	3,238	2,022	62.4	1,148	35.5	68	2.1
North Dakota	290	165	56.9	119	41.0	6	2.1
Ohio	2,726	1,460	53.6	1,197	43.9	69	2.5
Oklahoma	945	528	55.9	388	41.1	29	3.1
Oregon	764	419	54.8	324	42.4	21	2.7
Pennsylvania	4,713	2,311	49.0	2,293	48.7	109	2.3
Rhode Island	358	188	52.5	154	43.0	16	4.5
South Carolina	1,422	754	53.0	626	44.0	42	3.0
South Dakota	271	150	55.4	118	43.5	3	1.1
Tennessee	1,437	791	55.0	606	42.2	40	2.8
Texas	5,178	2,969	57.3	2,053	39.6	156	3.0
Utah	542	327	60.3	199	36.7	16	3.0
Vermont	451	234	51.9	196	43.5	21	4.7
Virginia	3,093	1,919	62.0	1,115	36.0	59	1.9
Washington	1,813	1,134	62.5	636	35.1	43	2.4
West Virginia	457	250	54.7	199	43.5	8	1.8
Wisconsin	1,195	645	54.0	515	43.1	35	2.9
Wyoming	328	182	55.5	136	41.5	10	3.0

State Tables

APPENDIX A

Table A17. Number and percent of deleted people by state and HISPANIC ORIGIN

States	Total	Hispanic origin					
	Number	Non-Hispanic		Hispanic		Missing	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	83,160	70,589	84.9	7,578	9.1	4,993	6.0
Alabama	1,029	950	92.3	22	2.1	57	5.5
Alaska	506	466	92.1	13	2.6	27	5.3
Arizona	1,482	1,138	76.8	239	16.1	105	7.1
Arkansas	522	488	93.5	7	1.3	27	5.2
California	7,962	5,590	70.2	1,829	23.0	543	6.8
Colorado	1,060	837	79.0	141	13.3	82	7.7
Connecticut	1,538	1,328	86.3	101	6.6	109	7.1
Delaware	225	208	92.4	4	1.8	13	5.8
D.C.	241	215	89.2	9	3.7	17	7.1
Florida	3,760	3,076	81.8	420	11.2	264	7.0
Georgia	1,849	1,653	89.4	68	3.7	128	6.9
Hawaii	491	419	85.3	45	9.2	27	5.5
Idaho	335	288	86.0	25	7.5	22	6.6
Illinois	3,210	2,749	85.6	268	8.3	193	6.0
Indiana	1,454	1,302	89.5	55	3.8	97	6.7
Iowa	514	466	90.7	13	2.5	35	6.8
Kansas	694	595	85.7	46	6.6	53	7.6
Kentucky	1,083	1,009	93.2	12	1.1	62	5.7
Louisiana	1,454	1,324	91.1	54	3.7	76	5.2
Maine	771	739	95.9	8	1.0	24	3.1
Maryland	1,817	1,640	90.3	80	4.4	97	5.3
Massachusetts	2,909	2,588	89.0	141	4.8	180	6.2
Michigan	2,150	1,915	89.1	87	4.0	148	6.9
Minnesota	1,027	938	91.3	31	3.0	58	5.6
Mississippi	1,013	951	93.9	11	1.1	51	5.0
Missouri	1,172	1,087	92.7	18	1.5	67	5.7
Montana	399	355	89.0	8	2.0	36	9.0
Nebraska	514	468	91.1	26	5.1	20	3.9
Nevada	388	310	79.9	55	14.2	23	5.9
New Hampshire	692	650	93.9	6	0.9	36	5.2

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Cancel” box marked, inferences about the demographic distributions of deleted people should not be made from this table, nor should a net count of added or deleted people be inferred from the tables in this Appendix.

State Tables

APPENDIX A

States	Total	Hispanic origin					
	Number	Non-Hispanic		Hispanic		Missing	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
New Jersey	3,448	2,965	86.0	307	8.9	176	5.1
New Mexico	767	539	70.3	197	25.7	31	4.0
New York	7,463	6,345	85.0	749	10.0	369	4.9
North Carolina	3,238	2,893	89.3	197	6.1	148	4.6
North Dakota	290	267	92.1	10	3.4	13	4.5
Ohio	2,726	2,499	91.7	66	2.4	161	5.9
Oklahoma	945	828	87.6	41	4.3	76	8.0
Oregon	764	647	84.7	64	8.4	53	6.9
Pennsylvania	4,713	4,319	91.6	155	3.3	239	5.1
Rhode Island	358	295	82.4	31	8.7	32	8.9
South Carolina	1,422	1,309	92.1	20	1.4	93	6.5
South Dakota	271	253	93.4	4	1.5	14	5.2
Tennessee	1,437	1,339	93.2	25	1.7	73	5.1
Texas	5,178	3,430	66.2	1,454	28.1	294	5.7
Utah	542	434	80.1	63	11.6	45	8.3
Vermont	451	419	92.9	5	1.1	27	6.0
Virginia	3,093	2,750	88.9	164	5.3	179	5.8
Washington	1,813	1,519	83.8	133	7.3	161	8.9
West Virginia	457	431	94.3	7	1.5	19	4.2
Wisconsin	1,195	1,075	90.0	30	2.5	90	7.5
Wyoming	328	291	88.7	14	4.3	23	7.0

State Tables

APPENDIX A

Table A18. Number and percent of deleted people by state and TENURE

States	Total	Tenure					
	Number	Owner		Renter		Missing	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
U.S. Total	83,160	49,552	59.6	25,462	30.6	8,146	9.8
Alabama	1,029	629	61.1	263	25.6	137	13.3
Alaska	506	318	62.8	162	32.0	26	5.1
Arizona	1,482	900	60.7	444	30.0	138	9.3
Arkansas	522	321	61.5	141	27.0	60	11.5
California	7,962	3,728	46.8	3,602	45.2	632	7.9
Colorado	1,060	578	54.5	394	37.2	88	8.3
Connecticut	1,538	1,037	67.4	357	23.2	144	9.4
Delaware	225	146	64.9	57	25.3	22	9.8
D.C.	241	112	46.5	97	40.2	32	13.3
Florida	3,760	2,093	55.7	1,210	32.2	457	12.2
Georgia	1,849	1,004	54.3	569	30.8	276	14.9
Hawaii	491	204	41.5	262	53.4	25	5.1
Idaho	335	217	64.8	94	28.1	24	7.2
Illinois	3,210	2,073	64.6	827	25.8	310	9.7
Indiana	1,454	919	63.2	327	22.5	208	14.3
Iowa	514	314	61.1	134	26.1	66	12.8
Kansas	694	394	56.8	207	29.8	93	13.4
Kentucky	1,083	681	62.9	282	26.0	120	11.1
Louisiana	1,454	793	54.5	512	35.2	149	10.2
Maine	771	593	76.9	134	17.4	44	5.7
Maryland	1,817	1,116	61.4	515	28.3	186	10.2
Massachusetts	2,909	1,958	67.3	708	24.3	243	8.4
Michigan	2,150	1,463	68.0	441	20.5	246	11.4
Minnesota	1,027	685	66.7	245	23.9	97	9.4
Mississippi	1,013	609	60.1	282	27.8	122	12.0
Missouri	1,172	737	62.9	307	26.2	128	10.9
Montana	399	214	53.6	143	35.8	42	10.5
Nebraska	514	254	49.4	207	40.3	53	10.3
Nevada	388	203	52.3	152	39.2	33	8.5
New Hampshire	692	535	77.3	86	12.4	71	10.3

NOTE: Because data are for persons who actually had the “Cancel” box marked, inferences about the demographic distributions of deleted people should not be made from this table, nor should a net count of added or deleted people be inferred from the tables in this Appendix.

State Tables

APPENDIX A

States	Total	Tenure					
	Number	Owner		Renter		Missing	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
New Jersey	3,448	2,352	68.2	823	23.9	273	7.9
New Mexico	767	538	70.1	180	23.5	49	6.4
New York	7,463	4,246	56.9	2,640	35.4	577	7.7
North Carolina	3,238	1,728	53.4	1,148	35.5	362	11.2
North Dakota	290	172	59.3	87	30.0	31	10.7
Ohio	2,726	1,694	62.1	763	28.0	269	9.9
Oklahoma	945	534	56.5	289	30.6	122	12.9
Oregon	764	410	53.7	289	37.8	65	8.5
Pennsylvania	4,713	3,308	70.2	968	20.5	437	9.3
Rhode Island	358	197	55.0	120	33.5	41	11.5
South Carolina	1,422	859	60.4	392	27.6	171	12.0
South Dakota	271	172	63.5	78	28.8	21	7.7
Tennessee	1,437	907	63.1	368	25.6	162	11.3
Texas	5,178	3,165	61.1	1,516	29.3	497	9.6
Utah	542	297	54.8	194	35.8	51	9.4
Vermont	451	340	75.4	72	16.0	39	8.6
Virginia	3,093	1,641	53.1	1,187	38.4	265	8.6
Washington	1,813	880	48.5	733	40.4	200	11.0
West Virginia	457	306	67.0	105	23.0	46	10.1
Wisconsin	1,195	738	61.8	293	24.5	164	13.7
Wyoming	328	240	73.2	56	17.1	32	9.8

Variable Definitions From DRF2

APPENDIX B

Variable Definition and Values

RSOURCE	Source of Return
-1	Not computed
1	Paper mail back questionnaire from mail out
2	(not used)
3	Paper mail back questionnaire from TQA mail out with NO ID
4	Paper mail back questionnaire from Update/Leave
5	Paper mail back questionnaire from Update/Leave ADD
6	Paper mail back questionnaire from Update/Leave SUBSTITUTE
7	Paper mail back questionnaire from Urban Update/Leave
8	Paper mail back questionnaire from Urban Update/Leave ADD
9	Paper mail back questionnaire from Urban Update/Leave SUBSTITUTE
10	Paper mail back questionnaire from Request for Foreign Language
11	Paper mail back questionnaire from BCF marked as whole household
12	Paper mail back questionnaire from BCF partial household (i.e., NOT marked as whole household)
13	Paper enumerator questionnaire from List/Enumerate
14	Paper enumerator questionnaire from Update/Enumerate
15	Paper enumerator questionnaire from Update/Enumerate ADD
16	Paper enumerator questionnaire from Update/Enumerate SUBSTITUTE
17	Paper enumerator questionnaire from Nonresponse Followup (NRFU)
18	Paper enumerator questionnaire from NRFU ADD
19	Paper enumerator questionnaire from NRFU SUBSTITUTE
20	Paper enumerator questionnaire from NRFU Whole Household Usual Home Elsewhere (WHUHE)
21	Paper enumerator questionnaire from NRFU In-mover
22	Paper enumerator questionnaire from Coverage Improvement Followup (CIFU)
23	Paper enumerator questionnaire from CIFU ADD
24	Paper enumerator questionnaire from CIFU SUBSTITUTE
25	Paper enumerator questionnaire from T-Night
26	Paper questionnaire for UHE from Service-based Enumeration (SBE) (Individual Census Questionnaire (ICQ))
27	Paper questionnaire for UHE from Group Quarters (GQ) enumeration (Individual Census Report (ICR))
28	Paper questionnaire for UHE from Military GQ enumeration (Military Census Report (MCR))
29	Paper questionnaire for UHE from Shipboard GQ enumeration (Shipboard Census Report (SCR))
30	Electronic short form from IDC
31	Electronic TQA reverse-CATI short form
32	Electronic TQA reverse-CATI BCF for whole household
33	Electronic TQA reverse-CATI BCF for partial household

Variable Definitions From DRF2

APPENDIX B

RSOURCE	Source of Return (Continued)
34	Electronic Coverage Edit Followup (CEFU) from long or short form
35	Electronic CEFU from BCF for whole household
36	Electronic CEFU from IDC
37	Paper enumerator continuation form – unlinked “orphan”
RPRSTAT	Return and PSA Household Status
-1	Not computed
1	Basic return for primary PSA household
2	Other return for primary PSA household
3	Basic return for non-primary PSA household
4	Other return for non-primary PSA household
5	Redundant
6	Ineligible
RRT	Record Type
2	Short form return-level record
3	Long form return-level record
RC1	“Miss anyone who lived or stayed here on April 1, 2000?” (i.e., People with no other home, young children, roomers or housemates, or people away on business or on vacation)
-1	No response
1	Yes only
2	No only
3	Both boxes marked
RC2	“Were any people you told me about staying at (college, institutions, etc.) on April 1, 2000?”
-1	No response
1	Yes only
2	No only
3	Both boxes marked

Variable Definitions From DRF2

APPENDIX B

PPSEL Person Record PSA Selection Status

- 1 Not computed
- 1 Yes, person record selected by PSA
- 2 No, person record NOT selected by PSA
- 3 No, person record would have been selected except that 97 person records had already been selected

PADD Add Box

- 1 Box not marked
- 1 Box marked

PCANCEL Cancel Box

- 1 Box not marked
- 1 Box marked
- 2 Box was marked, cancellation was undone during post-capture processing

RTENURE "Is this house, apartment, or mobile home --"

- 1 No response
- 1 Owned by you or someone in this household with a mortgage or loan
- 2 Owned by you or someone in this household free and clear (without a mortgage or loan)
- 3 Rented for cash rent
- 4 Occupied without payment of cash rent

PSEX Sex

- 1 No response
- 1 Male
- 2 Female

PAGE Age

- 1 No response
- 0-999 Age

Variable Definitions From DRF2

APPENDIX B

PSPAN01	Spanish Origin - No, not Spanish/Hispanic origin Check Box
-1	Box not marked
1	Box marked
PSPAN02	Spanish Origin - Yes, Mexican, Mexican-Am, Chicano Check Box
-1	Box not marked
1	Box marked
PSPAN03	Spanish Origin - Yes, Puerto Rican Check Box
-1	Box not marked
1	Box marked
PSPAN04	Spanish Origin - Yes, Cuban Check Box
-1	Box not marked
1	Box marked
PSPAN05	Spanish Origin - Yes, other Spanish/Hispanic Check Box
-1	Box not marked
1	Box marked
PSPANWI	Spanish Origin Write-in
PRACE01	Race - White Check Box
-1	Box not marked
1	Box marked
PRACE02	Race - Black, African Am., or Negro Check Box
-1	Box not marked
1	Box marked

Variable Definitions From DRF2

APPENDIX B

PRACE03 Race - Indian (Amer.) or Alaska Native Check Box

-1 Box not marked
1 Box marked

PRACE04 Race - Asian Indian Check Box

-1 Box not marked
1 Box marked

PRACE05 Race - Chinese Check Box

-1 Box not marked
1 Box marked

PRACE06 Race - Filipino Check Box

-1 Box not marked
1 Box marked

PRACE07 Race - Japanese Check Box

-1 Box not marked
1 Box marked

PRACE08 Race - Korean Check Box

-1 Box not marked
1 Box marked

PRACE09 Race - Vietnamese Check Box

-1 Box not marked
1 Box marked

PRACE10 Race - Other Asian Check Box

-1 Box not marked
1 Box marked

Variable Definitions From DRF2

APPENDIX B

PRACE11 Race - Native Hawaiian Check Box

-1 Box not marked

1 Box marked

PRACE12 Race - Guamanian or Chamorro Check Box

-1 Box not marked

1 Box marked

PRACE13 Race - Samoan Check Box

-1 Box not marked

1 Box marked

PRACE14 Race - Other Pacific Islander Check Box

-1 Box not marked

1 Box marked

PRACE15 Race - Some other race Check Box

-1 Box not marked

1 Box marked

OMB No. 0607-0896 Approval Expires 12/31/2000

FORM **D-1(E)** U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
(1-21-99) BUREAU OF THE CENSUS

ENUMERATOR QUESTIONNAIRE
United States Census 2000

Continuation form(s) attached
Number of continuation forms for this address

LCU State County Tract Block
AA Map Spct Unit ID
← APPLY LABEL HERE →
House No. Street name, Rural route and box, or PO box
Apt. No. or Location
City State ZIP Code

RECORD OF CONTACT

Type	Month	Day	Time	Outcome	Type	Month	Day	Time	Outcome
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Personal			:	<input type="checkbox"/> a.m. <input type="checkbox"/> p.m.	<input type="checkbox"/> Personal			:	<input type="checkbox"/> a.m. <input type="checkbox"/> p.m.
<input type="checkbox"/> Personal			:	<input type="checkbox"/> a.m. <input type="checkbox"/> p.m.	<input type="checkbox"/> Telephone			:	<input type="checkbox"/> a.m. <input type="checkbox"/> p.m.
<input type="checkbox"/> Telephone			:	<input type="checkbox"/> a.m. <input type="checkbox"/> p.m.	<input type="checkbox"/> Personal			:	<input type="checkbox"/> a.m. <input type="checkbox"/> p.m.
<input type="checkbox"/> Personal			:	<input type="checkbox"/> a.m. <input type="checkbox"/> p.m.	<input type="checkbox"/> Telephone			:	<input type="checkbox"/> a.m. <input type="checkbox"/> p.m.
<input type="checkbox"/> Telephone			:	<input type="checkbox"/> a.m. <input type="checkbox"/> p.m.	<input type="checkbox"/> Personal			:	<input type="checkbox"/> a.m. <input type="checkbox"/> p.m.
			:	<input type="checkbox"/> a.m. <input type="checkbox"/> p.m.	<input type="checkbox"/> Telephone			:	<input type="checkbox"/> a.m. <input type="checkbox"/> p.m.

OUTCOME CODES: NV = Left notice of visit NC = No contact RE = Refusal CI = Conducted interview OT = Other

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the entries I have made on this questionnaire are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.
Enumerator's signature and date: _____

Crew leader's initials: _____ CLLD number: _____
Month: _____ Day: _____

INTRODUCTION

S1. Hello, I'm (Your name) from the Census Bureau. (Show ID card.) Is this (Read address)?
 Yes - Continue with question S2
 No - Ask: Can you tell me where to find (Read address)? END INTERVIEW

S2. I'm here to complete a census questionnaire for this address. It should take about 7 minutes. This notice (hand respondent a Privacy Act Notice) explains that your answers are kept confidential. Did you or anyone in this household live here on Saturday, April 1, 2000?
 Yes - Continue with question S3
 No -> Skip to question S4

S3. Is this (house/apartment/mobile home) a vacation or seasonal home, or only occasionally occupied by your household?
 Yes -> Skip to items A, B, and C in the "Interview Summary" block and refer to Card J.
 No -> Skip to S5

S4. On April 1, 2000 was this unit -
 Vacant -> Skip to items A, B, and C in the "Interview Summary" block and refer to Card K.
 Occupied by a different household? Using a knowledgeable respondent, complete this questionnaire for the Census Day household and refer to Card K.

S5. How many people were living or staying in this (house/apartment/mobile home) on April 1, 2000?
 Number of people

0014622252

Census 2000 Enumerator Questionnaire, Form D-1(E)

APPENDIX C

2

ENUMERATOR NOTE: For questions 2 through 5, prompt respondent with names if needed, for example, "Let's start with Bob."

1. What is each person's name? Start with the name of a person who owns, is buying, or rents this (house/apartment/mobile home).

Person 1

First Name MI

Last Name

Cancel

Add

2. (Show Card A.) Which of these categories best describes how each person is related to (read name of Person 1)?

Person 1

- Husband/wife
- Natural-born son/daughter
- Adopted son/daughter
- Stepson/stepdaughter
- Brother/sister

- Father/mother
- Grandchild
- Parent-in-law
- Son-in-law/daughter-in-law
- Other relative - Specify relationship: _____

NONRELATIVE:

- Roomer/boarder
- Unmarried partner
- Other nonrelative
- Housemate/roommate
- Foster child

3. What is each person's sex?

Mark ONE box.

- Male
- Female

Person 2

First Name MI

Last Name

Cancel

Add

Person 3

First Name MI

Last Name

Cancel

Add

Person 4

First Name MI

Last Name

Cancel

Add

Person 5

First Name MI

Last Name

Cancel

Add

ENUMERATOR NOTE: Refer to S5 on the cover. If the number of people is more than 5, add additional household members to Form D-1(E) SUPP, Continuation Form.

ENUMERATOR NOTE: it is important to ask BOTH questions 5 and 6 and show Cards B and C.

4. What was each person's age on April 17?
Print numbers in boxes.

Age

What is this person's date of birth?

Month

Day

Year of birth

5. Are any of the persons that I have listed Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, or of another Hispanic or Latino group?

- No, not Spanish/Hispanic/Latino
- Yes, Mexican, Mexican Am., Chicano
- Yes, Puerto Rican
- Yes, Cuban
- Yes, other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino - What is this group?

6. Now choose one or more races for each person. Which race or races does each person consider himself/herself to be?

- White
 - Black, African Am., or Negro
 - Asian Indian
 - Chinese
 - American Indian or Alaska Native - What is the name of (your/...s) enrolled or principal tribe?
 - Filipino
 - Japanese
 - Korean
 - Vietnamese
 - Other Asian
 - Native Hawaiian
 - Guamanian/Chamorro
 - Samoan
 - Other Pacific Islander
 - Some other race
- What is this race?

4. What was each person's age on April 17?
Print numbers in boxes.

Age

What is this person's date of birth?

Month

Day

Year of birth

5. Are any of the persons that I have listed Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, or of another Hispanic or Latino group?

- No, not Spanish/Hispanic/Latino
- Yes, Mexican, Mexican Am., Chicano
- Yes, Puerto Rican
- Yes, Cuban
- Yes, other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino - What is this group?

6. Now choose one or more races for each person. Which race or races does each person consider himself/herself to be?

- White
 - Black, African Am., or Negro
 - Asian Indian
 - Chinese
 - American Indian or Alaska Native - What is the name of (your/...s) enrolled or principal tribe?
 - Filipino
 - Japanese
 - Korean
 - Vietnamese
 - Other Asian
 - Native Hawaiian
 - Guamanian/Chamorro
 - Samoan
 - Other Pacific Islander
 - Some other race
- What is this race?

4. What was each person's age on April 17?
Print numbers in boxes.

Age

What is this person's date of birth?

Month

Day

Year of birth

5. Are any of the persons that I have listed Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, or of another Hispanic or Latino group?

- No, not Spanish/Hispanic/Latino
- Yes, Mexican, Mexican Am., Chicano
- Yes, Puerto Rican
- Yes, Cuban
- Yes, other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino - What is this group?

6. Now choose one or more races for each person. Which race or races does each person consider himself/herself to be?

- White
 - Black, African Am., or Negro
 - Asian Indian
 - Chinese
 - American Indian or Alaska Native - What is the name of (your/...s) enrolled or principal tribe?
 - Filipino
 - Japanese
 - Korean
 - Vietnamese
 - Other Asian
 - Native Hawaiian
 - Guamanian/Chamorro
 - Samoan
 - Other Pacific Islander
 - Some other race
- What is this race?

4. What was each person's age on April 17?
Print numbers in boxes.

Age

What is this person's date of birth?

Month

Day

Year of birth

5. Are any of the persons that I have listed Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, or of another Hispanic or Latino group?

- No, not Spanish/Hispanic/Latino
- Yes, Mexican, Mexican Am., Chicano
- Yes, Puerto Rican
- Yes, Cuban
- Yes, other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino - What is this group?

6. Now choose one or more races for each person. Which race or races does each person consider himself/herself to be?

- White
 - Black, African Am., or Negro
 - Asian Indian
 - Chinese
 - American Indian or Alaska Native - What is the name of (your/...s) enrolled or principal tribe?
 - Filipino
 - Japanese
 - Korean
 - Vietnamese
 - Other Asian
 - Native Hawaiian
 - Guamanian/Chamorro
 - Samoan
 - Other Pacific Islander
 - Some other race
- What is this race?

4. What was each person's age on April 17?
Print numbers in boxes.

Age

What is this person's date of birth?

Month

Day

Year of birth

5. Are any of the persons that I have listed Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, or of another Hispanic or Latino group?

- No, not Spanish/Hispanic/Latino
- Yes, Mexican, Mexican Am., Chicano
- Yes, Puerto Rican
- Yes, Cuban
- Yes, other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino - What is this group?

6. Now choose one or more races for each person. Which race or races does each person consider himself/herself to be?

- White
 - Black, African Am., or Negro
 - Asian Indian
 - Chinese
 - American Indian or Alaska Native - What is the name of (your/...s) enrolled or principal tribe?
 - Filipino
 - Japanese
 - Korean
 - Vietnamese
 - Other Asian
 - Native Hawaiian
 - Guamanian/Chamorro
 - Samoan
 - Other Pacific Islander
 - Some other race
- What is this race?



0014622282

1243



FORM D-110 (1-21-99)

